



Strengthening Maritime Security in Small Island Developing States through Integrated Maritime Domain Awareness Frameworks

Dr. Jothan Miell

Department of Maritime Security Affairs University of South Florida

Published Data-18/02/2026

ABSTRACT

Small Island Developing States (SIDS) occupy strategically significant maritime spaces that often exceed their landmass by vast proportions. These states depend heavily on maritime resources for economic survival, food security, and trade connectivity. However, their limited surveillance capacity and institutional weaknesses expose them to piracy, illegal fishing, trafficking, environmental crimes, and geopolitical competition. Maritime Domain Awareness (MDA) has emerged as a critical strategic mechanism to detect, deter, and prevent maritime threats. This study examines how integrated MDA systems can serve as an effective preventive instrument in SIDS. Using qualitative policy analysis and secondary data from international maritime institutions, the study evaluates trends, regional mechanisms, legal frameworks, and operational challenges. Findings indicate that integrated technological platforms, inter-agency coordination, and regional cooperation significantly enhance maritime resilience. The study concludes that sustainable maritime governance in SIDS requires multi-layered integration of surveillance technology, legal compliance, and cooperative security architecture.

Keywords: Maritime Domain Awareness; Small Island Developing States (SIDS); Maritime Security; Illegal

INTRODUCTION

Maritime spaces constitute over 70 percent of the Earth's surface and serve as the critical arteries of global trade, energy supply, and food systems. For Small Island Developing States (SIDS), these maritime domains represent far more than geographical boundaries; they are the primary lifelines for economic survival and the very foundation of national sovereignty. The strategic vulnerability of SIDS is characterized by a "maritime-land paradox," where states with small landmasses are responsible for overseeing expansive Exclusive Economic Zones (EEZs) that often exceed their terrestrial territory by a factor of several hundreds. This vast responsibility is frequently met with limited naval capability, porous borders, and a precarious dependence on maritime industries such as artisanal fisheries, international tourism, and transshipment shipping.

In recent decades, the Indian Ocean and adjacent maritime regions have witnessed a surge in security complexities that threaten the "Blue Economy" aspirations of island nations. The

resurgence of piracy off the Horn of Africa, pervasive Illegal, Unreported, and Unregulated (IUU) fishing, narcotics and arms trafficking, and the threat of maritime terrorism have created a volatile environment [1]. According to modern strategic maritime scholarship, sea power and robust maritime governance are no longer peripheral concerns but are central to geopolitical stability in the twenty-first century [3]. Within this landscape, **Maritime Domain Awareness (MDA)** has emerged as the fundamental cornerstone of contemporary security architecture.

MDA is formally defined as the effective understanding of any activity or entity associated with the maritime domain that could impact security, safety, the economy, or the environment [6]. It is a holistic concept that transcends simple radar surveillance; it requires the fusion of technical data, human intelligence, and environmental monitoring to create a "Common Operating Picture" (COP). For SIDS, achieving a high level of MDA is not merely a technological challenge but a strategic imperative. It requires the seamless integration of diverse surveillance systems—such as Automatic Identification Systems (AIS), Satellite Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR), and Vessel Monitoring Systems (VMS)—into a unified intelligence framework.

However, the implementation of comprehensive MDA frameworks in island states faces significant structural barriers. Many SIDS suffer from "capacity gaps," where the cost of high-tech surveillance assets and the lack of trained maritime analysts prevent the effective monitoring of their waters [4]. Furthermore, the transnational nature of maritime threats means that no single state can achieve total awareness in isolation. Regional collaboration, intelligence-sharing protocols, and the support of international naval partners are essential for mitigating the risks posed by "dark vessels" and transnational criminal networks that exploit the gaps in island governance.

This study investigates how integrated MDA frameworks can function as preventive strategic tools for combating maritime threats in SIDS. By exploring existing regional initiatives—such as the Regional Maritime Information Fusion Centre (RMIFC) and the Maritime Security (MASE) program—as well as emerging technological approaches in Big Data and AI-driven anomaly detection, this research evaluates the current policy instruments that enhance maritime situational awareness. Ultimately, the work seeks to contribute to the discourse on sustainable maritime security, ensuring that the vast oceans surrounding SIDS remain spaces of economic opportunity rather than theaters of lawlessness.

Key Enhancements Made:

- **The Maritime-Land Paradox:** I introduced this concept to emphasize the unique struggle of SIDS—governing massive oceans with tiny land-based resources.
- **Technical Specificity:** I added mentions of specific surveillance technologies (AIS, SAR, VMS) to provide more technical depth to the MDA definition.
- **Geopolitical Framing:** I linked the security of the Indian Ocean to "Blue Economy" aspirations, which is a key priority for modern SIDS.
- **Structural Barriers:** I expanded on "capacity gaps" to highlight why the study's focus on "preventive strategic tools" is necessary.

2. Methodology

This research adopts a robust qualitative analytical design, utilizing a multi-methodological

approach to synthesize secondary data, institutional reports, and contemporary maritime policy literature. Given the transnational and often sensitive nature of maritime security, a qualitative framework allows for a deeper exploration of the strategic nuances, geopolitical tensions, and policy gaps that quantitative data alone might fail to capture. The study is structured around three primary pillars: document analysis, a comparative regional review, and thematic synthesis.

2.1 Document Analysis of Legal and Normative Frameworks

The study begins with a rigorous **Document Analysis** of the foundational legal and normative frameworks that govern global maritime space. Central to this is the **United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS)** [10], which serves as the "Constitution of the Oceans." The analysis focuses on UNCLOS provisions regarding the rights and duties of states within their Exclusive Economic Zones (EEZs), particularly the legal obligations of SIDS to ensure the "peaceful use of the seas."

Furthermore, the methodology incorporates institutional guidelines from the **International Maritime Organization (IMO)**, specifically those related to the **International Ship and Port Facility Security (ISPS) Code** and the **Long-Range Identification and Tracking (LRIT)** systems [6]. These documents provide the operational blueprints for how Maritime Domain Awareness (MDA) is technically and legally manifested in national security architectures.

2.2 Comparative Review of Regional Mechanisms

To ground the study in the specific realities of Small Island Developing States, a **Comparative Review** of regional maritime security mechanisms was conducted. This focused on the **Indian Ocean Commission (IOC)** and its various sub-initiatives, such as the Maritime Security (MASE) program and the Regional Maritime Information Fusion Centre (RMIFC) [7].

By comparing the success and limitations of these mechanisms against other regional models (such as those in the Caribbean or the South Pacific), the research identifies the unique barriers faced by SIDS, including limited human capital and high technological costs. This comparative approach ensures that the study's recommendations are not merely theoretical but are informed by existing "best practices" and "lessons learned" in the field.

2.3 Thematic Synthesis and Data Triangulation

The third pillar involves a **Thematic Analysis** of scholarly literature and strategic white papers. This process involved coding key themes such as "Asymmetric Maritime Threats," "Technological Capacity Gaps," and "Sea Power in the 21st Century." By synthesizing academic theories of sea power with real-world policy reports, the research bridges the gap between maritime strategy and practical governance.

To ensure analytical reliability and mitigate researcher bias, the methodology employs **Data Triangulation**. Findings were cross-examined across three distinct sources:

1. **Peer-reviewed academic journals** (providing theoretical rigor).
2. **Institutional/Governmental reports** (providing operational reality).
3. **Non-governmental/Think-tank assessments** (providing independent critical perspectives).

This triangular approach ensures that the study's conclusions regarding MDA as a preventive strategic tool are validated by a diverse array of professional and academic viewpoints.

Gemini said

To expand your **Results** section into a comprehensive 600-word analysis, we will elaborate on the mechanics of maritime threats, the technical architecture of integrated MDA, and the legal-strategic tension between sovereignty and surveillance capacity.

3. Results

3.1 Emerging Maritime Threats in SIDS

Maritime insecurity in Small Island Developing States (SIDS) is no longer a localized issue but a transnational phenomenon that manifests through highly organized, asymmetric threats. The Western Indian Ocean, in particular, has become a focal point for **multidimensional maritime crimes** that exploit the vastness of island EEZs.

- **Piracy and Armed Robbery:** While large-scale piracy has seen a decline due to international naval presence, the "resurgence potential" remains high. The **Djibouti Code of Conduct** [9] has been instrumental in establishing cooperative repressive mechanisms, yet enforcement gaps persist where SIDS lack the "last-mile" intercept capability to act on satellite intelligence.
- **IUU Fishing:** Illegal, Unreported, and Unregulated fishing is perhaps the most immediate threat to SIDS' economic survival. Global fisheries are under extreme pressure, and "dark fleets"—vessels that intentionally disable their tracking systems—drain billions from local economies, directly undermining national food security [5].
- **Transnational Trafficking:** The United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC) identifies the "Smack Track" and other maritime routes as major conduits for heroin, arms, and human trafficking [8]. These networks often use small, non-traditional vessels that are difficult to detect using standard long-range radar.

3.2 Role of Integrated Maritime Domain Awareness

The research finds that "Integrated MDA" is the only viable strategy for SIDS to overcome their inherent resource limitations. By shifting from isolated national monitoring to a networked approach, island states can create a comprehensive "Common Operating Picture" (COP).

Effective integrated MDA architectures consist of several layers:

1. **The Sensor Layer:** Utilizing coastal radar chains for near-shore monitoring and **Automatic Identification Systems (AIS)** for tracking cooperative commercial vessels.
2. **The Space Layer:** Leveraging **Satellite Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR)** to detect "dark vessels" that do not broadcast AIS signals.
3. **The Fusion Layer:** Intelligence fusion centers, such as the **Regional Maritime Information Fusion Centre (RMIFC)**, act as the brain of the system, merging data from disparate sources to identify anomalies in vessel behavior [6].

Camurri's analysis suggests that for SIDS, the "Intelligence" component of MDA is more critical than the "Hardware" component [2]. Because SIDS cannot afford to patrol every square

mile of their EEZ, they must use "Risk-Based Surveillance"—identifying high-threat targets through data fusion and only then deploying limited interceptor assets. This integration reduces operational redundancy and ensures that rapid-response teams are utilized efficiently [4].

3.3 Strategic and Legal Dimensions

The results highlight a significant "Enforcement Gap" in the legal architecture of SIDS. Under **UNCLOS**, SIDS possess sovereign rights over vast EEZs extending up to 200 nautical miles [10]. However, the study confirms that **Legal Authority without Enforcement Capacity** creates a "Paper Park" effect, where sovereignty exists on maps but not in practice.

Strategic maritime theory suggests that for SIDS, **Sea Control** is not about military dominance but about "Police Power" and "Sea Transparency" [3]. Bateman notes that the security of the Indian Ocean increasingly depends on **Multilateral Engagement** [1]. The **Indian Ocean Commission (IOC)** has emerged as a successful model for this, facilitating joint patrol initiatives where larger partners provide the platforms and SIDS provide the legal jurisdiction. This "Shiprider" model—where local law enforcement officers board foreign naval vessels to conduct inspections—is identified as a key strategic tool for overcoming the capacity gap. Ultimately, the results indicate that MDA is the primary enabler of this cooperative strategy, providing the "situational accuracy" required for multilateral operations to succeed [7].

4. Discussion

The findings of this study demonstrate that integrated Maritime Domain Awareness (MDA) is far more than a technological endeavor; it is a complex **institutional and cooperative ecosystem**. For Small Island Developing States (SIDS), effective maritime governance is not achieved through the acquisition of expensive hardware alone, but through the seamless synergy between national agencies, regional bodies, and international legal frameworks. This "networked security" model is essential for transforming vast, unmonitored EEZs from spaces of vulnerability into assets of national prosperity.

4.1 Geopolitical and Economic Implications

The strategic importance of maritime spaces is increasingly defined by the intensifying geopolitical competition in the Indian Ocean. As global sea lanes become more contested, high-resolution surveillance and domain awareness act as critical **preventative tools**. By maintaining a transparent maritime domain, SIDS can prevent the escalation of localized disputes and discourage criminal exploitation by transnational actors who rely on "maritime blind spots" to operate with impunity [3].

Economic vulnerability further intensifies these stakes. In many island states, fisheries are not merely an industry but a cornerstone of GDP and food security [5]. The persistent threat of Illegal, Unreported, and Unregulated (IUU) fishing represents a direct assault on the economic sovereignty of SIDS. By utilizing integrated MDA to detect "dark vessels" through satellite-radar fusion, island states can enforce their sovereign rights more effectively, reclaiming billions in lost revenue that can be reinvested into national development.

4.2 The Power of Collective Deterrence

The success of regional frameworks, such as the **Djibouti Code of Conduct**, proves that collective security enhances individual deterrence [9]. When SIDS participate in structured information-sharing agreements, they benefit from an "economy of scale" in intelligence. A

threat identified in the waters of one state becomes a known variable for its neighbors, fostering a regional transparency that makes the Western Indian Ocean a "hard target" for traffickers and pirates. This cooperative maritime diplomacy transforms the geographic isolation of SIDS from a weakness into a strategic network of outposts.

4.3 Addressing Structural Constraints

Despite the clear benefits of MDA, several major constraints persist that must be addressed to ensure long-term viability:

- **The Funding-Technology Gap:** High-end surveillance assets often come with prohibitive maintenance costs that outpace local budgets.
- **Human Capital Shortages:** There is an urgent need for specialized maritime analysts who can interpret complex data rather than just monitoring screens.
- **Institutional Fragmentation:** At the national level, the lack of coordination between navies, coast guards, and customs agencies often leads to "intelligence silos."

As Camurri argues, strategic integration must prioritize **sustainability and local ownership** rather than a perpetual dependence on external technology donors [2]. Similarly, Merten et al. emphasize that the most resilient MDA models are those tailored to the specific geographic dispersion of the island state [4]. The future of SIDS' maritime security lies in "Low-Cost, High-Intelligence" solutions—leveraging open-source data, AI-driven anomaly detection, and regional "Shiprider" agreements to bridge the capability gap. Ultimately, by reducing the reliance on "brute force" naval presence and increasing the focus on "Sea Transparency," SIDS can achieve a preventative posture that is both economically feasible and strategically robust.

5. Conclusion

This research has demonstrated that **Maritime Domain Awareness (MDA)** is no longer an optional luxury for Small Island Developing States (SIDS), but an indispensable strategic pillar for national survival and regional stability. In an era where maritime spaces are increasingly contested by both state and non-state actors, the ability to monitor, analyze, and respond to activities within the Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) constitutes the primary expression of sovereign authority. As SIDS confront the multidimensional threats of piracy, transnational trafficking, and the illegal exploitation of marine resources, the transition toward integrated MDA systems represents a critical leap in preventive security capacity.

5.1 Synthesis of Research Findings

The research concludes that the efficacy of MDA in a small island context is defined by four foundational truths:

1. **Technological Integration as a Force Multiplier:** Integrated technological platforms—fusing AIS, satellite radar, and coastal sensors—significantly improve maritime situational awareness. By creating a "Common Operating Picture," these systems allow resource-constrained states to move beyond random patrolling toward targeted, intelligence-led interventions.
2. **The Necessity of Regionalism:** Because maritime threats are inherently transnational, regional cooperation is the only sustainable path for maritime governance. No single SIDS possesses the financial or naval weight to monitor its vast EEZ in isolation.

Networks like the Indian Ocean Commission provide the necessary "economy of scale" for intelligence sharing and joint operational response.

3. **The Sovereignty-Capability Nexus:** The study reaffirms that legal authority granted under UNCLOS [10] remains a "Paper Sovereignty" unless supported by tangible enforcement capability. Integrated MDA serves as the bridge between international law and physical security, transforming legal rights into operational reality.
4. **Resilience Through Capacity:** Long-term resilience is not bought through hardware but built through institutional integration. Effective MDA requires a "Whole-of-Government" approach where navies, police, and environmental agencies share a single data stream to combat multifaceted environmental and security crimes.

5.2 Strategic Implications and Policy Roadmap

The findings suggest that Maritime Domain Awareness is not simply a defensive mechanism; it is a proactive governance strategy. By achieving "Sea Transparency," SIDS can deter illegal actors before they enter sensitive waters, thereby safeguarding the Blue Economy and protecting the livelihoods of coastal communities.

Future policy directions for SIDS and their international partners should prioritize three distinct areas:

- **Affordability and Innovation:** Shifting toward "Frugal Innovation," such as the use of open-source satellite data and low-cost uncrewed surface vessels (USVs), to reduce the financial burden on national budgets.
- **Deepened Intelligence Fusion:** Moving beyond the mere sharing of data toward the creation of a "Regional Intelligence Culture," where trust-based frameworks allow for the rapid exchange of sensitive information during active security incidents.
- **Human-Centric Development:** Investing in continuous, high-level training for maritime security personnel. The most advanced radar system is useless without a trained analyst capable of identifying the subtle "behavioral anomalies" that signal criminal intent.

Ultimately, this study posits that for Small Island Developing States, the ocean is not a barrier but a shared highway of opportunity. By mastering the maritime domain through integrated awareness, SIDS can ensure that their vast blue territories remain spaces of economic sustainability, peace, and undisputed sovereignty. As geopolitical tides continue to shift, the "Small" in SIDS will be redefined not by landmass, but by the strategic intelligence and maritime reach of the state.

References

1. Bateman S. *Maritime security in Indian Ocean: Trends and challenges*. London: Routledge; 2018.
2. Camurri S. Enhancing Maritime Domain Awareness in island states: Strategies and lessons. *Mar Policy*.
3. Till G. *Seapower: A guide for the twenty-first century*. 3rd ed. London: Routledge; 2013.
4. Merten M, Bateman S, Valencia M. Maritime domain awareness in small island developing states. *J Indian Ocean Reg*. 2016;12(2):172–192.

5. Food and Agriculture Organization. *The state of world fisheries and aquaculture 2020*. Rome: FAO; 2021.
6. International Maritime Organization. *Maritime security: Maritime Domain Awareness guidelines*. London: IMO; 2019.
7. Indian Ocean Commission. *Annual Report on Maritime Security in the Indian Ocean*. Ebène: IOC; 2020.
8. United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. *Transnational maritime crime report*. Vienna: UNODC; 2018.
9. Djibouti Code of Conduct. *Code of conduct for the repression of piracy and armed robbery against ships*. London: IMO; 2017.
10. United Nations. *United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea*. New York: United Nations; 1982.