

The impact of strategic knowledge management and the Internet of Things (IoT) on the performance and innovation of Chinese manufacturing firms

LIU HUIYAN¹, ABHIJIT GHOSH²

¹PhD Research Scholar in Nursing, Lincoln University College, Malaysia

² Dean in Lincoln University College, Malaysia

Abstract

New disruptive technologies are altering the way in which organisations manage their knowledge in the context of the Internet of Things (IoT). This calls for a rethinking of the conventional knowledge management system and the implementation of a more open approach to facilitate the free exchange of ideas. This tendency will likely be beneficial to the growth of the key in-house knowledge management skills that are being developed by the organisation. The investigation of this environment will focus on four interconnected ideas: knowledge management, open innovation, the aptitude for knowledge management, and creative brilliance. This objective is accomplished by

using the method of structural equation modelling to the information gathered from 685 Chinese businesses. The findings demonstrate that putting in place a knowledge management system raises an organization's capacity for innovation by developing its internal knowledge-management resources. This, in turn, makes it possible for greater opportunities for teamwork and access to informational resources that were not previously utilised. The results of the study are used to draw important academic and management implications, and they are also used to identify prospective future avenues for research.

Key words: Internet of things, Strategic knowledge management, Manufacturing firm, Chinese innovation, Firm performance.

INTRODUCTION

The Internet of Things (IoT) paradigm is a novel paradigm in the current context of modern information and communication technologies (ICTs). The Internet of Things, a collection of disruptive digital technologies, affects both individuals and businesses. Disruptive technologies are increasingly being incorporated into business processes in order to increase efficiency through the flow of knowledge and the collection of data and information. It is imperative for companies to develop appropriate and relevant knowledge management processes and capabilities in order to

maintain their competitive advantage in this global economy. More and more academic and practical research has been done on information management and how to get the most from it at work. Knowledge management refers to the process of identifying and utilizing a group's collective knowledge in order to enhance competitiveness, innovation, and responsiveness to environmental changes. Information technology-based knowledge management systems have received relatively little research attention in terms of their development, implementation, and overall success rates (IT) (Kim and Kim, 2016; Scuotto et al., 2016). This represents a significant gap in scientific business knowledge because many organizations are implementing knowledge management systems to make it easier to create, share, and store knowledge. In light of the movement's new and increasing momentum, creating digital ecosystems using ICT tools, experimental technology platforms, e-service applications, and other infrastructures of the information society can give companies a competitive edge by facilitating the collection and exchange of data and information. This phenomenon is reshaping the way innovation is carried out in the first place. Despite significant progress in several areas of knowledge management, the results of programmes to improve knowledge management have been inconsistent and unclear. Then why aren't there more studies on how and when knowledge management initiatives can improve productivity and outcomes? This has resulted in a greater focus on the link between knowledge management and business performance. Research in knowledge management (KM) is also common to focus solely on internal knowledge, ignoring the importance of integrating both internal and external knowledge into a holistic approach. Internal Knowledge Management Capacity (KMC) is becoming increasingly important to companies in today's dynamic environment as a means of effectively managing knowledge flows both within the Organization and to and from the outside world. As the name suggests, KMC is all about a company's ability to explore and retain information not only within the organisation in which it is employed, but also across all other organisations. As a result, firms tend to form alliances with other stakeholders in their ecosystem, resulting in a dynamic exchange of knowledge. As a direct result of the IoT phenomenon, firms can and should implement and maintain KMS that utilise cutting-edge ICTs and external knowledge sources, resulting in improved innovative performance (defined as the ability to introduce new products/services and processes or open up new markets) (Santoro, 2017).

LITERATURE REVIEW

The academic conversation on how to effectively manage innovation in a future when the Internet of Things will be the dominant technology has only just started. Discussions on the Internet of Things (IoT) are now taking place in three key groups. These communities include the academic community, the business sector, and the governmental sector. For example, governments all over the world have launched a number of different initiatives, such as those pertaining to the standardization of procedures (in the United States, this initiative is known as the Industrial Internet Consortium (IIC)). The Internet of Things (IoT) has been researched by a variety of academic departments utilizing the backdrop of the digital age, which has led to a wide range of perspectives and findings being uncovered. When it comes to the conception and implementation

of the Internet of Things, there is a substantial gap in the levels of success shown by the different sectors in terms of this technology. To provide just one example, the business of selling consumer products is light years ahead of the sector of providing financial services. In addition, because of the ambiguity that surrounds the definitions, layers, tactics, and implications of the internet of things, it is still difficult to adequately portray the degree of disagreement that is now going place. In order to set the stage for the current conversation, they are going to begin by doing a literature review that draws from a variety of academic disciplines and covers topics such as digitalization, the Internet of Things (IoT), and industrial IoT. They provide the conclusions of studies that were generated by both the business sector and the government in order to demonstrate that they have taken their points of view into consideration. In this paper, they show how the existing corpus of literature has become wider over the last ten years in terms of the diversity of topics it explores and the ways in which it classifies those topics. In order to organize and standardize both the review process as well as the findings of it, the study draws on concepts from both the philosophy of science as well as the area of systems thinking. In order to wrap things up, they will now organize the results of the debate and evaluation into the following four categories: digitalization, the internet of things (IoT), the industrial internet of things (IIoT), and electronic collaboration. The Internet of Things refers to a network of interconnected physical devices that are able to communicate with one another and share data using a variety of network connections, including wireless, optical, and coaxial cable. The application of information and communications technology (ICT) as well as real-time analytic hubs to digital technologies that are currently in use paves the way for a broad variety of potential outcomes. Since it is an open system that links a variety of devices to the internet, they may consider the Internet of Objects to be a global network of linked things. This is because it connects a broad range of items to the internet. According to the IoT European Research Cluster (IERC), the term "Internet of Things" refers to "a dynamic global network infrastructure with self-configuring capabilities based on standard and interoperable communication protocols where physical and virtual things have identities, physical attributes, and virtual personalities, use intelligent interfaces, and are seamlessly integrated into the information network." Although the term "Internet of Things" does not have a definition that is universally accepted, this definition was provided by the IoT European Research Cluster (IERC). The Internet of Things is based on two core principles: first, that there should be network connectivity everywhere, and second, that access should not be prohibited for anyone or anything.

CONTINUOUS SENSING FOR THE ULTRASONIC POSITIONING MODULE

The field of study known as UPM condition monitoring has been established for some time, yet it is one that is consistently increasing. Researchers have presented extensive literature reviews on sensor-based monitoring for a range of ultraprecision manufacturing processes, including UPM. Specifically, these studies focus on UPM. These review papers discuss a variety of subjects, including the mechanics of material removal, the phenomena that need to be assessed, and the needed level of accuracy. In addition, some methods for selecting sensors are presented. However, researchers point out that sensor-based monitoring is a burgeoning area of research, with a

particular focus on surface to near-surface phenomena in the domain of ultraprecision manufacturing. As a direct result of the aforementioned factors, surface integrity monitoring in UPM has received a comparably low level of attention from researchers (**Rao, 2013**).

COMBINING AND ANALYSING THE DATA FROM VARIOUS SENSORS

Data fusion methods combine the findings obtained from a number of distinct sensors into a single set of findings in order to arrive at conclusions that are more precise than those that could be arrived at by using just one. In a general sense, the many approaches of sensor fusion may be classified into one of three tiers, which are as follows:

- (1) raw data level fusion, which is when data from sensors that have similar qualities are merged to generate a new signal that has an improved signal-to-noise ratio.
- (2) multi-sensor fusion, which is when data from multiple sensors that measure the same thing are combined to measure a single variable.
- (3) Sensor level fusion, which is when related features derived from sensors are fused for decision making; feature level fusion, which is when distinct features are retrieved from multiple sensor signals in the time and frequency domains; and sensor level fusion, which is when related features derived from sensors are fused for decision making.

AN EXAMINATION ON THE LEVEL OF THE ORGANIZATION

OI is connected to the possibilities, actions, and outcomes of entrepreneurial endeavours at the level of the organisation. The impact of OI on commercial endeavours in has important ramifications for both brand-new ones and established corporate ones already in operation. In particular, OI can help business owners see prospects that are located remotely from their existing knowledge base, giving them a fuller picture of the potential opportunity landscape. OI can also help business owners see prospects that are in close proximity to their current knowledge base (**Gruber, MacMillan, and Thompson 2013**). Across addition, OI strategies make opportunities for entrepreneurial endeavours available in a diverse array of work environments and types of organisations, as well as encourage their development. For example, an OI strategy based on platforms makes it possible for startups to expand via the production of auxiliary products and services; to put it another way, platforms themselves become the site of entrepreneurial activity. In a similar vein, when enormous enterprises implement an inbound OI strategy, doors of opportunity are opened for smaller companies (**Zahra and Nambisan 2011**).

A LEVEL OF ANALYSIS CONSISTENT ACROSS ALL ORGANIZATIONS

For organisational innovation to be effective, it is vital to engage in activities in the early stages of an innovation process that go beyond simple information exchanges across organisations. The establishment or active engagement of innovation ecosystems, which bring together a broad variety of inventive individuals at various times along the process, is often required in order for organisations to innovate successfully. Even in the absence of a central organisation, they are capable of developing original and workable solutions to problems relating to innovation. The

development and marketing of a product are only two of the numerous outcomes that are presumed to result from organisational innovation, which also necessitates a diverse range of interactions and information flows (**Chesbrough and Bogers 2014**).

Before there is a set architecture in place for creating value inside the ecosystem. The value of an innovation ecosystem will change depending on the nature and degree of difficulty of the technology that is being produced. OI explains how creative dynamic network structures evolve via the dynamic interactions of a number of individuals throughout the innovation lifecycle by adopting the viewpoint of a network theorist. Therefore, the problem of governance in these ever-changing relationships is very necessary to the success of OI. In point of fact, one of the primary concerns is the extent to which such leadership should be "transparent." The lack of hierarchical control structures necessitates the development of new "dynamic" theories in order to characterise the impact of "open" governance on the manner in which a large number of stakeholders co-evolve throughout the process of innovation. Research on platform-based ecosystems has uncovered important facets of "openness" of governance, such as ownership over intellectual property, access to the technology, and social variables like transparent information policy (**Benlian, Hilkert, and Hess 2015**).

ANALYSIS ON A HIGHER LEVEL THAT IS OUTSIDE OF THE ORGANISATION

The extent to which external stakeholders (individuals or communities) are involved in the innovation process as either contributors to the development of new knowledge and innovations or as recipients of information that is used to produce innovations is an essential factor in determining the success of open innovation (OI). There have been many various kinds of literature that have come into existence to address the role that these "external stakeholders."

The range of knowledge production and innovation includes individual contributors (such as user innovation), collaborations with extra-organizational groups (such as communities, consortia, and crowdsourcing), and working with a wider network or ecosystem (**West, 2014**). However, despite the fact that all of these may be considered cases of OI involving third parties, it is essential to bear in mind that many stakeholders might each bring about distinct factors that, as a result of their differences, can impair the effectiveness of OI. There is a vast range of input that may make a difference, some examples of which are the goals, desires, thoughts, and solutions to challenges as well as designs and patents provided by external parties. It appears fruitful to discuss the importance of the contribution of external stakeholders as well as the phases of the innovation process in which they engage. Individuals and members of communities may also have very different conceptions of the factors that motivate them to take part in OI processes, which may lead to large differences in participation rates. The type of the process by which new information is generated, the repercussions of that process, and the degree to which it is absorbed are the factors that define the amount to which stakeholders from the outside participate to the process of innovation. External stakeholders take on a more significant role when the scenario calls for experts to play a major role in identifying problems and/or providing knowledge input to solutions, as well as when the needed information matches to the preferences and expectations of customers

and users. The involvement of external stakeholders in the innovation process is diminished when the knowledge in question is deemed to be tacit and when its development is seen to be inextricably linked to the contextual aspects of an organisation, such as its culture, history, and traditions. Another essential component that requires more research is the way in which the variety of internal and external contributors, as well as cognitive distance, impact the dynamics of knowledge generation and the output of innovation.

METHODOLOGY

Methodology and research plan

This section's goal is to provide an explanation of the methods of research that were taken. The stated goals and conceptual framework of the research programme ought to serve as the primary inspiration for the study's design and analysis. The impact of strategic knowledge management and the internet of things (iot) on chinese innovation and manufacturing firm's performance will have a significant impact on scanning the environment, plans and strategies, strategies execution, analyses and regulation. It is necessary to ascertain how significant these adjustments are. This objective has been taken into consideration when developing the suggested layout. The goals of this section are meant to follow immediately in the footsteps of this fact and are designed to:

1. The benefits and cons of different quantitative approaches to study should be weighed together.
2. Provide a brief explanation of how quantitative research is conducted.
3. The rationale and explanation for the study's use of quantitative research methods are required.

Methodological Approach

The purpose of this study is to offer a background for the empirical analysis and hypothesis testing of the theoretical relational path that was chosen based on the existing literature. The conceptual models that have been offered make it possible for this to occur. Quantifying the facts is one of the goals that the conceptual framework seeks to accomplish. In this particular investigation, the majority of the approaches and methodologies that we used were quantitative. In spite of this, qualitative information has the potential to enrich any research technique by verifying concepts in novel ways. Learn how to confirm the process of creating trust and commitment with the help of qualitative data collection and analysis. This is a precondition for knowing how to do so. In order to ascertain the level of relevance in connection to the qualitative data that investigates the phenomena, the suggested research makes use of a triangulation of qualitative and quantitative data.

Quantitative Research Design

Researchers that use quantitative methods attempt to numerically represent and manipulate data in order to better describe and explain the processes they study. During the course of the last few decades, it has been utilised in a wide variety of fields, including physics, biology, sociology, and geology.

In addition, quantitative research is social research that makes use of empirical methodologies and empirical claims. An empirical claim, in his view, is a statement about the way things actually are, as opposed to an assertion about the way things "should be." In quantitative research, empirical assessments are utilised rather frequently, and numerical formulations of empirical statements are a significant component of this type of study. To restate this, the purpose of an empirical evaluation is to establish whether or not a particular programme or policy satisfies a particular set of criteria.

i) Study Design

The research was a detailed cross-sectional investigation that took place over the course of three months, from September to December of 2022. In this study, we used a cross-sectional design, which allowed us to gather data at a particular moment in time in a straightforward and economical manner. The researcher had to use a quantitative strategy to limit the use of resources. The total sample size of 700 included people from manufacturing. We contacted every member of staff at the aforementioned establishments to collect this statistically valid sample. Workplace surveys were used to collect data from participants. The research was explained to anybody who was interested, and those who agreed to take part completed a questionnaire on their own time in the waiting area after they had finished their shifts. In case any questions arose, the researcher was there to address them. In addition, questionnaires were handed out in a variety of locations and were meant to be filled out and returned quickly.

ii) Study Area

The study was mostly focused on the industrial sector in China.

Population and sample of the study

The term "sample" refers to a subset of data that has been arbitrarily selected from a broader population. One of these things might be called a sample point, sampling unit, or observation, depending on the context. When doing research, using a sample as a starting point is an effective way to save time. To undertake a thorough investigation of a whole community would be impractical, prohibitively expensive, and very time consuming in almost all circumstances. This indicates that researchers may be able to learn anything about the community as a whole by examining a relatively small subset of the members of the community.

According to the information that was gathered from different parts of the world, it was anticipated that the total working population would reach three billion. Rao soft was used to do the determination, and the results showed that the sample size was. The samples were from a selection that was completely at random. Over 815 questionnaires were sent, and only 795 were brought back for further inspection and 685 of which were complete.

Unit of analysis

The single individual, group of individuals, or thing that serves as the "unit of analysis" is the subject of in-depth investigation. Units of analysis might take the form of individuals, groups, organisations, countries, technological advancements, products, and many other things. This is true, for example, for academics who are interested in analysing consumer behaviour, educational outcomes, and attitudes regarding the advancement of technology.

The individual serves as both the fundamental and the primary unit of study in sociology. Studies involving just one participant are often utilised to help us get a better understanding of the linkages that exist among societies. This is due to the fact that one of the primary objectives of sociology is to learn about people's positions in society. It is possible for a community or specialised group to acquire insight into similarities in the lives of its members, which may be utilised to assist in the resolution of difficulties that are faced by society as a whole.

Selection of key informants

Key informant interviews include having in-depth discussions with individuals who are thought to possess substantial expertise on a certain subject. There are two major aspects of key informant interviews that should be highlighted.

In the initial phase, interviews with just a few of people are required. The investigator chooses to consult these people because they could have useful insights or data to contribute to the investigation. Based on the inquiry's purpose and scope, the investigator finds appropriate communities and selects key informants from among them.

It's also crucial to remember that interviews are qualitative in nature. The interview rules indicate the themes and concerns that will be handled during a particular interview session. The interviewer formulates the specific questions that will be asked. The interviews are casual and relaxed, like chitchat between pals.

To get more information out of the interviewees, the interviewer asks them subtle questions and takes detailed notes, which are then analysed and interpreted.

DISCUSSION

Demographic Details

In the first part of the study, it was found that the total respondent of the research is 685 and male respondents are more than female respondents. Out of this 390 people are male and 295 people are female. Valid percentage of male is 57% and female is 43%. Highest respondents are from 26-25 years and the lowest number of respondents are from above 60 years. 222 respondents are from 18-25 age group, 249 respondents are from 26-40 age group, 128 respondents are from 41-60 age group and 86 respondents are age of above 60. Valid percentage of age groups are 32%, 36%, 19%, and 13% respectively. Among the respondents 184 female and 173 male respondents are

unmarried, 111 female respondents and 217 male respondents are married, and the percentages are 52% male and 48% female respectively. According to the study, the highest income group is found that 21000-30000 and the lowest income group was found to be 31000-40000. 87 respondent's income per month is between 20000, 129 respondent's income per month 21000-30000, 81 respondent's income per month 31000-40000, 93 respondent's income is more than 41000 and the percentages are 28%, 26%, 22% and 24% respectively. In the study the highest number of respondents from the group of work experience are 6 years to 10 years and 11 years to 15 years, and the lowest is above 15 years. 178 respondents have 0 to 5 years work experience, 185 respondents have 6 to 10 years work experience, 185 respondents have 11 to 15 years work experience, 137 respondents have above 15 years work experience and the percentages are 26%, 27%, 28% and 20% respectively. In the study it was found that the computer knowledge as proficient and experts are the highest number and beginner is the lowest number. 130 respondents are beginner who have computer knowledge, 144 respondents are average in computer knowledge, 206 respondents are proficient in computer knowledge, 206 respondents are expert in computer knowledge, and the valid percentage is 19%, 21%, 30% and 30%. In the study it was found that the technical skills as expert is the highest number and beginners are the lowest. 144 respondents are beginner who have technical skills, 164 respondents have average technical skills, 158 respondents are proficient in technical skills, 219 respondents are expert in technical skills. The valid percentages of technical skills are 21%, 24%, 23% and 32% respectively.

CONCLUSION

It has been shown that knowledge management, often known as KM, is an efficient strategy that may boost both creativity and productivity. In addition, improved financial outcomes may be achieved by the combination of codification and customization. It is becoming more obvious that KM and KM strategy have a favourable influence on the creativity and performance of corporations. Companies have come to realise that a knowledge management strategy that is well defined has the ability to boost revenue, efficiency, creativity, and talent. Because of these advantages, the link's capacity to nurture novel patterns of performance is improved.

A significant amount of effort has been invested by researchers in the process of learning about and trying a variety of organisational solutions for supporting creative thinking. In academic papers such as these, a focus is placed on both the theoretical and practical significance of these tactics for companies. Knowledge creation is essential for a business to get the advantages that are based on the invention, transmission, and application of knowledge, as well as to supply the service that may give the organisation with its full potential for competitive advantage. The strategy for managing knowledge is viewed as an adaptable capability that is centred on the continuous upgrading of a knowledge opportunity. This is accomplished by making use of the innovations that are generated to manipulate, develop, encode, and use the implicit and explicit information that exists within the company. If the user's privacy, personal information, or even physical safety is compromised, then the security of a smart home or smart device is at risk. This is especially true

for an Internet of Things (IoT)-based smart home, which is extremely vulnerable to various security threats from both inside and outside the home.

The gap that exists between entrepreneurial initiative and strategic management practises may be bridged by using strategic information. Planning strategically and managing effectively are both helpful strategies for entrepreneurs who run their own businesses. Because many business objectives cannot be accomplished without them, strategic management and intelligence are essential components of a successful entrepreneur's toolkit. In addition, the findings of the related study cannot be comprehended in their entirety without first considering the part that strategic management plays in motivating entrepreneurial endeavours. The generation of value for the company is the ultimate goal of both Chinese business strategy and management, as well as Chinese manufacturing firm.

There are four different, but interconnected phases involved in the management of knowledge. These steps include the development of knowledge, the storage and retrieval of information, the transfer of knowledge, and the application of knowledge. It is quite feasible for an organisation and the individuals working inside it to be participating in many knowledge management process chains all at once. As a consequence of this, knowledge management is not something that remains the same inside a corporation over the course of time; rather, it is something that is continuously adjusting to accommodate new conditions.

Companies that approach innovation with an open mind and are willing to try new things are more likely to be successful in cultivating this talent. As a direct result of this, there has been a rise in the quantity of internal KMC that extols the virtues of open innovation practises. This is due to the widespread consensus that increased openness and cooperation among people is one of the most important factors in the process of encouraging innovation. Therefore, maintaining an open mind may increase one's chances of gaining in skill, and it is strongly recommended that you do so. In particular, an open approach encourages the generation of information as well as integration and connectivity, all of which contribute to an open innovation process operating at a higher level of efficiency. This highlights the importance of expanding a company's scope and hints at the potential it may bring for entering unexplored sectors and getting unique insights. Additionally, this demonstrates the worth of expanding a company's scope. Working with a diverse array of partners (partners' diversity), which may result in a continuous supply of fresh ideas, may be beneficial to the organisation in terms of gaining access to new spheres of expertise and generating new ideas. At the same time, in this day and age, where the economy is based on information, the widespread use of modern information and communications technologies (ICTs) ought to at least put the businesses' openness to the test. When it comes to innovation, the most senior level of an organisation needs to be the one to first evaluate the value of digital and open ecosystems.

It is envisaged that by extending the size of KMS, more individuals would have easier access to the system, which would lead to an increase in the exploration and exploitation of underexplored

areas of knowledge. In point of fact, in the fast-paced world of today, the innovation processes that occur inside and between organisations are driven by the ability to exchange information, integrate it, and produce new knowledge. The first stage in building online communities with external partners for the purpose of information sharing and the promotion of cooperation within departments is the creation of a knowledge management system (KMS). Although the advancement of technology is very important, it is not sufficient on its own to stimulate creative endeavours. Businesses should exercise caution when selecting their partners for collaborative projects and make appropriate adjustments to the intensity of their interactions in order to maximise the likelihood that they will work together.

REFERENCES

- Mills, and T. Smith., "29P. Exploring the Impact of Knowledge Management Capabilities on Organizational Effectiveness", CONF-IRM 2010 Proceedings. 35, 2010.
- Adnan, K., and R. Akbar. 2019. "Limitations of Information Extraction Methods and Techniques for Heterogeneous Unstructured Big data[J]." *International Journal of Engineering Business Management* 11: 1847979019890771. doi:10.1177/1847979019890771.
- Afuah, A. 2014. *Business Model Innovation: Concepts, Analysis and Cases*. New York: Routledge.
- Afuah, A., and C. L. Tucci. 2012. "Crowdsourcing as a Solution to Distant Search." *Academy of Management Review* 37 (3): 355–375.
- Ahmad, N., Lodhi, M. S., Zaman, K., & Naseem, I. (2017). Knowledge management: a gateway for organizational performance. *Journal of the Knowledge Economy*, Vol. 8(No. 3), 859–876.
- Ahn, J.M., Ju, Y., Moon, T.H., Minshall, T., Probert, D., Sohn, S.Y., Mortara, L., 2016. Beyond absorptive capacity in open innovation process: the relationships between openness, capacities and firm performance. *Tech. Anal. Strat. Manag.* 1–20
- Akhtar, P., Khan, Z., Tarba, S. and Jayawickrama, U., 2018, "The Internet of Things, dynamic data and information processing capabilities, and operational agility". *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 136, 307-316.
- Alavi, M., Leidner, D.E., 2013. Review: knowledge management and knowledge management systems: conceptual foundations and research issues. *MIS Q.* 107–136.
- Alexy, O., G. George, and A. J. Salter. 2013. "Cui Bono? The Selective Revealing of Knowledge and Its Implications for Innovative Activity." *Academy of Management Review* 38 (2): 270–291.
- Alexy, O., J. Henkel, and M. W. Wallin. 2013. "From Closed to Open: Job Role Changes, Individual Predispositions, and the Adoption of Commercial Open-Source Software Development." *Research Policy* 42 (8): 1325–1340.
- Alexy, O., P. Criscuolo, and A. Salter. 2012. "Managing Unsolicited Ideas for R&D." *California Management Review* 54 (3): 116–139.

- Alfalla-Luque, R., Medina-Lopez, C., & Dey, P. K. (2013). Supply chain integration framework using literature review. *Production Planning & Control*, 24(8-9), 800-817.
- Ali, N., Tretiakov, A., Whiddett, D., & Hunter, I. (2016). Knowledge management systems success in healthcare: Leadership matters. *International Journal of Medical Informatics*, 97, 33-340.
- Allen, D. (2016). China's Smart Cities Connected by the 'Internet of Things'. EastWestBank. Retrieved from (<https://www.eastwestbank.com/ReachFurther/News/Article/China-Smart-Cities-Connected-By-The-Internet-Of-Things>)).
- Allen, D. (2016). China's Smart Cities Connected by the 'Internet of Things'. EastWestBank. Retrieved from (<https://www.eastwestbank.com/ReachFurther/News/Article/China-Smart-Cities-Connected-By-The-Internet-Of-Things>)).
- Aloini, D., Pellegrini, L., Lazzarotti, V., Manzini, R., 2015. Technological strategy, open innovation and innovation performance: evidences on the basis of a structural-equation model approach. *Meas. Bus. Excell.* 19 (3), 22–41.
- Al-Qutayri, M. A., & Jeedella, J. S. (2010). *Integrated Wireless Technologies for Smart Homes Applications*. INTECH Open Access Publisher.
- Ambos, T. C., Nell, P. C., and Pedersen, T., 2013, "Combining stocks and flows of knowledge: The effects of intra-functional and cross-functional complementarity". *Global Strategy Journal*, 3(4), 283-299.
- Amir Khanpour, M., Vrontis, D., Thrassou, A., 2014. Mobile marketing: a contemporary strategic perspective. *Int. J. Technol. Market.* 9 (3) (ISSN:1741-878X - Inderscience).
- Andries, P. and Czarnitzki D. (2011), "Small firm innovation performance and employee involvement", The University of Leuven, Leuven, available at: <http://ssrn.com/abstract/2109277>; <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2109277> (accessed October 12, 2013).
- Ansoff, H.I., Kipley, D., Lewis, A.O., Helm-Stevens, R., & Ansoff, R. (2019). *Implanting strategic management*. Springer.
- Antons, D., and F. T. Piller. 2015. "Opening the Black Box of 'Not Invented Here': Attitudes, Decision Biases, and Behavioral Consequences." *Academy of Management Perspectives* 29 (2): 193–217.
- Ashton, K. (2015). America Last? The man who coined 'Internet of Things' says this is the first tech race America might lose. Retrieved from (<http://www.politico.com/agenda/story/2015/06/kevin-ashton-internet-of-things-in-the-us-000102>)).
- Ataseven, C., & Nair, A. (2017). Assessment of supply chain integration and performance relationships: A meta-analytic investigation of the literature. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 185, 252-265.
- ATIKU, S.O., GENTY, K.I. AND AKINLABI, B.H. (2011). Effect of Electronic Banking on Employees' Job Security in Nigeria, *European Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences*, 4(2), 68-84. [9].
- Atzori, L., Iera, A., and Morabito, G., 2010, "The internet of things: A survey". *Computer networks*, 54(15), 2787-2805.

- Autio, E., L. Dahlander, and L. Frederiksen. 2013. "Information Exposure, Opportunity Evaluation, and Entrepreneurial Action: An Investigation of an Online User Community." *Academy of Management Journal* 56 (5): 1348–1371.
- Aziz, H.H.A., Rizkallah, A., 2015. Effect of organizational factors on employees' generation of innovative ideas: empirical study on the Egyptian software development industry. *EuroMed J. Bus.* 10 (2):134–146. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/EMJB-12-2014-0044>.
- Azizi, SH., Asadnejhah, M., Zare Mirak Abadi, A., & Hosseini, S. S. (2011). Studying and Comparing Knowledge Management Dimensions between Public and Private Organizations. *Journal of Information Technology Management*, 2 (4), 99-116. (In Persian).
- Baldwin, H. (2012), "Time off to innovate: good idea or a waste of tech talent?", *Computerworld*, available at: www.computerworld.com/s/article/9229373/Time_off_to_innovate_Good_idea_or_a_waste_of_tech_talent_ (accessed October 10, 2013).
- Baumgartner, J. (2010), "The critical role of trust in the innovation process", *innovation tools*, available at: www.innovationtools.com/Articles/EnterpriseDetails.asp?a¼507 (accessed September 25, 2013).
- Ben-Daya, M., Hassini, E., & Bahroun, Z. (2017). Internet of things and supply chain management: a literature review. *International Journal of Production Research*, 1-24.
- Benlian, A., D. Hilbert, and T. Hess. 2015. "How Open is this Platform? The Meaning and Measurement of Platform Openness from the Complementors' Perspective." *Journal of Information Technology* 30 (3): 209–228.
- Beyca, O.F., Rao, P.K., Kong, Z., Bukkapatnam, STS. and Komanduri, R. (2016) Heterogeneous sensor data fusion approach for real-time monitoring in ultraprecision machining (UPM) process using nonparametric Bayesian clustering and evidence theory. *IEEE Transactions on Automation Science and Engineering*, 13(2), 1033–1044.
- Bogers, M., A. Afuah, and B. Bastian. 2010. "Users as Innovators: A Review, Critique, and Future Research Directions." *Journal of Management* 36 (4): 857–875.
- Bogers, M., Zobel, A.K., Afuah, A., Almirall, E., Brunswicker, S., Dahlander, L., ... Hagedoorn, J., 2016. The open innovation research landscape: established perspectives and emerging themes across different levels of analysis. *Ind. Innov.* 1–33
- Bolisani, E.; Bratianu, C. Knowledge and strategy formulation in a turbulent world. In *Emergent Knowledge Strategies: Strategic Thinking in Knowledge Management*; Bolisani, E., Bratianu, C., Eds.; Springer International Publishing: Cham, Switzerland, 2018; pp. 117–146.
- Borgia, E. (2014). The Internet of Things vision: Key features, applications and open issues. *Computer Communications*, 54, 1-31.
- Bosua, R.; Venkitachalam, K. Aligning strategies and processes in knowledge management: a framework. *J. Knowl. Manag.* 2013, 17, 331–346.
- Botta, A., De Donato, W., Persico, V., and Pescapé, A., 2016, "Integration of cloud computing and internet of things: a survey". *Future Generation Computer Systems*, 56, 684-700.

- Branwyn, G. (2015). An Insider's Guide to Shenzhen Manufacturing. MakeMagazine. Retrieved from (<http://makezine.com/2015/06/15/making-in-shenzhen/>)).
- Bresciani, S., Thrassou, A., Vrontis, D., 2013. Change through innovation in family businesses: evidence from an Italian sample. *World Rev. Entrep. Manag. Sustain. Dev.* 9 (2), 195–215.
- Bresciani, S., Thrassou, A., Vrontis, D., 2013. Change through innovation in family businesses: evidence from an Italian sample. *World Rev. Entrep. Manag. Sustain. Dev.* 9 (2), 195–215.
- Bresnahan, T., and S. Greenstein. 2014. "Mobile Computing: The Next Platform Rivalry." *American Economic Review* 104 (5): 475–480.
- Bresnahan, T., and S. Greenstein. 2014. "Mobile Computing: The Next Platform Rivalry." *American Economic Review* 104 (5): 475–480.
- Bughin, J., Chui M., & Manyika, J. (2015). An executive's guide to the Internet of Things. Retrieved from (http://www.mckinsey.com/Insights/Business_Technology/An_executives_guide_to_the_Internet_of_Things?cid=digital-eml-alt-mip-mck-oth-1508)).
- Bughin, J., Chui M., & Manyika, J. (2015). An executive's guide to the Internet of Things. Retrieved from (http://www.mckinsey.com/Insights/Business_Technology/An_executives_guide_to_the_Internet_of_Things?cid=digital-eml-alt-mip-mck-oth-1508)).
- Bullinger, A. C. 2012. "IT-based Interactive Innovation." Habilitation thesis, Friedrich-Alexander University Erlangen-Nuremberg.
- Burcharth, A. L. d. A., and A. Fosfuri. 2015. "Not Invented Here: How Institutionalized Socialization Practices Affect the Formation of Negative Attitudes toward External Knowledge." *Industrial and Corporate Change* 24 (2): 281–305.
- Bushell-Embling, D. (2015). China telecom teams with Gemalto on IoT tech. Retrieved from (<http://www.telecomasia.net/content/china-telecom-teams-gemaltoiot-tech>)).
- Frigidian, and A. Harris. —Knowledge Management To Support Product Development In Cold Roll-Forming Environmentl, *Int J Adv Manuf Technol*, Vol.57 (5), pp 585-596 pp.585–596, 2011.
- Camhi, J. (2015). Here's what happened in internet of things this week. Retrieved from (<http://www.businessinsider.com/heres-what-happened-in-internet-ofthings-this-week-2015-7-19>)).
- Can, O., & Sahingoz, O. K. (2015, May). A survey of intrusion detection systems in wireless sensor networks. In *Modeling, Simulation, and Applied Optimization (ICMSAO), 2015 6th International Conference on* (pp. 1-6). IEEE.
- Carayannis, E. G., Grigoroudis, E., Del Giudice, M., Della Peruta, M. R. and Sindakis, S., 2017," An exploration of contemporary organizational artifacts and routines in a sustainable excellence context". *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 21(1), 35-56.

- Carayannis, E.G. and Campbell, D. (2009), “Mode 3’ and ‘quadruple helix’: toward a 21st century fractal innovation ecosystem”, *International Journal of Technology Management*, Vol. 46 Nos 3/4, pp. 201-234.
- Chandrakanth, S., Venkatesh, K., Uma Mahesh, J., and Naganjaneyulu, K. V., 2014, “Internet of things”. *International Journal of Innovations & Advancement in Computer Science*, 3(8), 16-20.
- Chaurasia, V., and S. Pal. 2018. “Prediction of Benign and Malignant Breast Cancer Using Data Mining Techniques[J].” *Social Science Electronic Publishing*,12(2): 19–126.
- Chebbi, H., YChebbi, H., Yahiaoui, D., Thrassou, A., Vrontis, D., 2013. The exploration activity's added value into the innovation process. *Glob. Bus. Econ. Rev.* 15 (2/3):265–278.
- Chebbi, H., YChebbi, H., Yahiaoui, D., Thrassou, A., Vrontis, D., 2013. The exploration activity's added value into the innovation process. *Glob. Bus. Econ. Rev.* 15 (2/3):265–278. [http:// dx.doi.org/10.1504/GBER.2013.053073](http://dx.doi.org/10.1504/GBER.2013.053073) (ISSN: 1097-4954, Inderscience).
- Chebbi, H., YChebbi, H., YChebbi, H., YChebbi, H., YChebbi, H., YChebbi, H., Yahiaoui, D., Vrontis, D., Thrassou, A., 2015. Building multiunit ambidextrous organizations: a transformative framework. *Hum. Resour. M*
- Chebbi, H., YChebbi, H., YChebbi, H., YChebbi, H., YChebbi, H., YChebbi, H., Yahiaoui, D., Vrontis, D., Thrassou, A., 2015. Building multiunit ambidextrous organizations: a transformative framework. *Hum. Resour. Manag.*
- Chen, D. (2016). SINA becomes first internet company to receive license to operate bulletin board systems in China. PHX (Retrieved from) (<http://phx.corporate-ir.net/phoenix.zhtml?c=121288 & p=irol-newsArticle & ID=194728>).
- Chesbrough, H., and M. Bogers. 2014. “Explicating Open Innovation: Clarifying an Emerging Paradigm for Understanding Innovation.” In *New Frontiers in Open Innovation*, edited by H. Chesbrough, W. Vanhaverbeke, and J. West, 3–28. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Chesbrough, H., Vanhaverbeke, W., & West, J. (2014). *New frontiers in open innovation*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Christopher, M. (2016). *Logistics & supply chain management*: Pearson UK.
- Christopher, M., Crum, M., & Holweg, M. (2011). “Supply Chain 2.0”: managing supply chains in the era of turbulence. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*, 41(1), 63-82.
- Cisco, 2018, “Cisco Visual Networking Index: Forecast and Trends, 2017–2022”; <https://www.cisco.com/c/en/us/solutions/collateral/service-provider/visual-networking-indexvni/white-paper-c11-741490.html>; Nov 26th, 2018, posted and Jan, 08th, 2019 accessed.
- CIW. (2015). China to Become Largest E-commerce Market in 2015. Retrieved from (<http://www.chinainternetwatch.com/15235/retail-e-commerce-2015/>).
- Colombo, M. G., C. Franzoni, and C. Rossi-Lamastra. 2015. “Cash from the Crowd.” *Science* 348 (6240): 1201–1202.

- Constantinides, E., Kahlert, M., & de Vries, S. A. (2017). The relevance of technological autonomy in the acceptance of IoT services in retail. Paper presented at the 2nd International Conference on Internet of Things, Data and Cloud Computing, ICC 2017.
- Csaszar, F.A. An Efficient Frontier in Organization Design: Organizational Structure as a Determinant of Exploration and Exploitation. *Organ. Sci.* 2013, 24, 1083–1101.
- Da Xu, L., He, W., and Li, S., 2014, "Internet of things in industries: A survey". *IEEE Transactions on industrial informatics*, 10(4), 2233-2243.
- Dahlander, L., S. O'Mahony, and D. M. Gann. 2016. "One Foot in, One Foot out: How Does Individuals' External Search Breadth Affect Innovation Outcomes?" *Strategic Management Journal* 37 (2): 280–302.
- Dalkir, K. (2011). *Knowledge management in theory and practice*. Second edition. Boston: Massachusetts Institute of Technology.
- Damodaran, A. 2018. "Royalty Payments on Intellectual Property: A Preliminary Analysis of the Principal Policy Issues Facing India[J]." *IIM Bangalore Research Paper*, 562.
- Davila, g.a., durst, s., varvakis, g. Knowledge absorptive capacity, innovation, and firm's performance: insights from the south of brazil. **International journal of innovation management**, v.22, n.2, 2018.
- Davila, g.a., north, k., varvakis, g. How brazilian textile enterprises learn to grow em: competitive strategies for small and medium enterprises. Springer international publishing, p. 241-254, 2016.
- De Feijter, T. (2016). Alibaba and SAIC launch 'Internet car' in China. *Forbes* (Retrieved from) (<http://www.forbes.com/sites/tychodefeijter/2016/07/08/alibabaand-saic-launch-internet-car-in-china/#216ce53849a4>).
- Del Giudice, M., Della Peruta, M.R., 2016. The impact of IT-based knowledge management systems on internal venturing and innovation: a structural equation modeling approach to corporate performance. *J. Knowl. Manag.* 20 (3).
- Del Giudice, M., Della Peruta, M.R., 2016. The impact of IT-based knowledge management systems on internal venturing and innovation: a structural equation modeling approach to corporate performance. *J. Knowl. Manag.* 20 (3).
- Del Giudice, M., Della Peruta, M.R., 2016. The impact of IT-based knowledge management systems on internal venturing and innovation: a structural equation modeling approach to corporate performance. *J. Knowl. Manag.* 20 (3).
- Del Giudice, M., Della Peruta, M.R., 2016. The impact of IT-based knowledge management systems on internal venturing and innovation: a structural equation modeling approach to corporate performance. *J. Knowl. Manag.* 20 (3).
- Del Giudice, M., Maggioni, V., 2014. Managerial practices and operative directions of knowledge management within inter-firm networks: a global view. *J. Knowl. Manag.* 18 (5), 841–846.

- Del Giudice, M., Maggioni, V., 2014. Managerial practices and operative directions of knowledge management within inter-firm networks: a global view. *J. Knowl. Manag.* 18 (5), 841–846.
- Del Giudice, M., Straub, D., 2011. IT and entrepreneurship: an on-again, off-again love affair or a marriage? *MIS Q.* 35 (4), 3–11.
- Deloitte, 2014, “The Internet of Things ecosystem Unlocking the business value of connected devices”; <https://www2.deloitte.com/us/en/pages/technology-media-andtelecommunications/articles/internet-of-things-iot-enterprise-value-report.html>; Jan, 08th, 2018 accessed.
- Desouza, K. (2011), *Intrapreneurship, Managing Ideas Within Your Organization*, 1st ed., University of Toronto Press, London.
- dimsums.blogspot.ca. (2014). “Internet of Things” for China’s agriculture. Retrieved from (<http://dimsums.blogspot.ca/2014/01/internet-of-things-for-chinas.html>).
- Dinham, P. (2015). China way out front in deployment of Internet of Things. Retrieved from (<http://www.itwire.com/your-it-news/internet-of-things/68627-chinaway-out-front-in-deployment-of-internet-of-things>).
- Donate, M. J., & De Pablo, J. D. S. (2015). The role of knowledgeoriented leadership in knowledge management practices and innovation. *Journal of Business Research*, 68, 360-370.
- Dubey, R., Gunasekaran, A., Childe, S. J., Papadopoulos, T., & Wamba, S. F. (2017). World class sustainable supply chain management: critical review and further research directions. *The International Journal of Logistics Management*, 28(2), 332-362.
- Durst, S. and Edvardsson, I. (2012), “Knowledge management in SMEs: a literature review”, *Journal of Knowledge Management*, Vol. 16 No. 6, pp. 879-903
- Dushnitsky, G., M. Guerini, E. Piva, and C. Rossi-Lamastra. 2016. “Crowdfunding in Europe: Determinants of Platform Creation across Countries.” *California Management Review* 58 (2): 44–71.
- Dyer, J., N. Furr, and C. Lefrandt. 2014. “The Industries Plagued by the Most Uncertainty.” *Harvard Business Review*.
- Eftekhari, N., and M. Bogers. 2015. “Open for Entrepreneurship: How Open Innovation Can Foster New Venture Creation.” *Creativity and Innovation Management* 24 (4): 574–584.
- Einhorn, B. (2014). How China's government set up Alibaba's success. *Bloomberg* Retrieved from(<http://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2014-05-07/howchinas-government-set-up-alibabas-Success>).
- Eriksson, P.E.; Leiringer, R. Explorative and exploitative learning in project-based organizations: improving knowledge governance through a project management office? *Eng. Proj. Organ. J.* 2015, 5, 160–179.
- EVANS, D. (2011). *The internet of things. How the Next Evolution of the Internet is Changing Everything*, Whitepaper, Cisco Internet Business Solutions Group (IBSG).
- Evis, C., & Alba, D. (2013). The leadership role of teacher and redimensioning of knowledge. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 75, 62-70.

- Feldman, M.S., and B.T. Pentland. 2003. Reconceptualizing organizational routines as a source of flexibility and change. *Administrative Science Quarterly* 48: 94–118.
- Ferraris, A., Erhardt, N., Bresciani, S., 2017. Ambidextrous work in smart city project alliances: unpacking the role of human resource management systems. *Int. J. Hum. Resour. Manag.* 1–22.
- Ferraris, A., Erhardt, N., Bresciani, S., 2017. Ambidextrous work in smart city project alliances: unpacking the role of human resource management systems. *Int. J. Hum. Resour. Manag.* 1–22.
- Ferreira, J., Fernandes, C. and Ratten, V. (2016), “A co-citation bibliometric analysis of strategic management research”, *Scientometrics*, Vol. 109 No. 1, pp. 1-32
- Ferreira, J., Fernandes, C., Alves, H. and Raposo, M. (2015), “Drivers of innovation strategies: testing the tidd and bessant (2009) model”, *Journal of Business Research*, Vol. 68 No. 7, pp. 1395-1403.
- Ferreras-Méndez, J.L., Fernández-Mesa, A., Alegre, J., 2016. The Relationship Between Knowledge Search Strategies and Absorptive Capacity: a Deeper Look Technovation.
- Flynn, B. B., Huo, B., & Zhao, X. (2010). The impact of supply chain integration on performance: A contingency and configuration approach. *Journal of Operations Management*, 28(1), 58-71.
- Friedman, D. (2014). Why China will leapfrog the world in internet of things. Retrieved from (<http://venturebeat.com/2014/10/04/why-china-will-leapfrog-theworld-in-internet-of-things/>).
- Gartner, (2016). Internet of Things. Retrieved from (<http://www.gartner.com/it-glossary/internet-of-things/>).
- Gartner, (2016). Internet of Things. Retrieved from (<http://www.gartner.com/it-glossary/internet-of-things/>).
- Gaviria-Marin, M., Merigo, J. M. and Popa, S., 2018, “Twenty years of the Journal of Knowledge Management: a bibliometric analysis”. *Journal of Knowledge Management*.
- Gawer, A., and M. A. Cusumano. 2014. “Industry Platforms and Ecosystem Innovation.” *Journal of Product Innovation Management* 31 (3): 417–433.
- GBTIMES (2015). China firm tops Internet of Things ranking. Retrieved from (<http://gbtimes.com/china/chinese-firm-tops-internet-things-ranking/>).
- Gereffi, g. **Global value chains, productive development policies and job creation** lima: ilo americas technical reports, 2017.
- Gibson, R. (2015). IoT could boost China’s economic growth by US\$1.8 trillion by 2030. Retrieved from (<http://www.onwindows.com/Article/iot-could-boostchinas-economic-growth-by-us18-trillion-by-2030-47902#.VqwyWeZOzm4>).
- GICHUNGE, E.M. (2010). The Effect of Formal Strategic Management on Organisational Performance: A study of selected Medium Sized Manufacturing Enterprises in Nairobi, Kenya.

- Gillis, W.E., Combs, J.G., & Yin, X. (2018). Franchise management capabilities and franchisor performance under alternative franchise ownership strategies. *Journal of Business Venturing*.
- Griffiths, J. (2015). Tencent unveils smartphone and 'internet of things' OS in challenge to Xiaomi. Alibaba Retrieved from(<http://www.scmp.com/tech/enterprises/article/1779923/tencent-unveils-smartphone-and-internet-things-os-challenge-xiaomi>).
- Gruber, M., I. C. MacMillan, and J. D. Thompson. 2013. "Escaping the Prior Knowledge Corridor: What Shapes the Number and Variety of Market Opportunities Identified before Market Entry of Technology Start-ups?" *Organization Science* 24 (1): 280–300.
- Gubbi, J., Buyya, R., Marusic, S., and Palaniswami, M., 2013, "Internet of Things (IoT): A vision, architectural elements, and future directions". *Future Generation Computer Systems*, 29(7), 1645-1660.
- Gunday, G., Ulusoy, G., Kilic, K., & Alpkan, L. (2011). Effects of innovation types on firm performance. *Int. J. Journal of Production Economics*, 133, 662-676.
- Haddud, A., DeSouza, A., Khare, A., and Lee, H., 2017, "Examining potential benefits and challenges associated with the Internet of Things integration in supply chains". *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*, 28(8), 1055-1085.
- Hagel, J., Brown, S.J., Kulasooriya, D., Giffi, C., & Chen, M. (2015). The future of manufacturing: Making things in a changing world. Retrieved from (<http://dupress.com/articles/future-of-manufacturing-industry/>).
- Hair, J.F., Ringle, C.M., Sarstedt, M., 2011. PLS-SEM: Indeed, a silver bullet. *Journal of Marketing theory and Practice* 19, 139—15.
- Halawi, L.A., McCarthy, R.V., & Aronson, J.E. (2006). Knowledge management and competitive strategy of the firm. *Journal of the Learning Organization*, 13 (4), 386-388.
- Henseler, J., & Sarstedt, M. (2013). Goodness-of-fit indices for partial least squares path modeling. *Computational Statistics*, 28(2), 565-580.
- Hernaus, T., Mikulić, J., 2014. Work characteristics and work performance of knowledge workers. *EuroMed J. Bus.* 9 (3):268–292. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/EMJB-11-2013-0054>.
- Hernaus, T., Mikulić, J., 2014. Work characteristics and work performance of knowledge workers. *EuroMed J. Bus.* 9 (3):268–292. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/EMJB-11-2013-0054>
- Higginbotham, S. (2014). In China, the internet of things is a social phenomenon. Retrieved from (<https://gigaom.com/2014/09/30/in-china-the-internet-ofthings-is-a-social-phenomenon/>).
- Hitt, M., & Duane Ireland, R. (2017). The intersection of entrepreneurship and strategic management research. *The Blackwell Handbook of Entrepreneurship*, 45-63.
- Hong, K. (2014). China's top e-commerce firms, Alibaba and JD, jump onto the internet of things bandwagon, 26 June. Retrieved from (<http://thenextweb.com/asia/2014/06/26/jd-com-beats-alibaba-to-the-internet-of-things-with-new-smart-home-cloud-platform/>).
- Hongying, W., & Qian, S. (2011). Fuzzy comprehensive evaluation of hospital knowledge management based on neural network. 6581-4244-1-978.

- Hosnavi, R., Akhavan, P., & Sanjeghi, M-E. (2012). Knowledge Management Critical Success Factors. Tehran, Third edition, Ati-negar Publishers. (In Persian).
- Hosseini, M., & Sadeghi, T. (2010). Effective factors on faculty members' creativity and innovation and presenting strategy for promotion. *Journal of Education Strategies in Medical Sciences*, 3 (1), 1-6. (In Persian).
- Huo, B. (2012). The impact of supply chain integration on company performance: an organizational capability perspective. *Supply Chain Management: An International Journal*, 17(6), 596-610.
- IDC, 2019, "Worldwide Semiannual Internet of Things Spending Guide"; https://www.idc.com/getdoc.jsp?containerId=IDC_P29475; Jan, 03rd, 2019 posted and Jan, 08th, 2019 accessed.
- Inkinen, h., kianto, a., vanhala, m. Knowledge management practices and innovation performance in finland. **Baltic journal of management**, v.10, n.4, p.432-455, 2015.
- Inkwood Research, 2017; "Global IoT in Energy & Utility Application Market Forecast 2018-2026"; <https://www.inkwoodresearch.com/reports/iot-in-energy-utility-application-market/>; Jan, 08th, 2019 accessed.
- Intezari, A. and Gressel, S., 2017, "Information and reformation in KM systems: big data and strategic decision-making". *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 21(1), 71-91.
- Jasimuddin, S. M., & Naqshbandi, M. M. (2017). Knowledge-oriented leadership and open innovation: Role of knowledge management capability in France-based multinationals. *International Business Review*, 27(3), 701-713.
- Jaziri, D. (2018). The advent of customer experiential knowledge management approach (CEKM): The integration of offline & online experiential knowledge. *Journal of Business Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2018.05.029> ISSN: 0148-2963.
- Jennex, M. E., 2017, "Big Data, the Internet of Things, and the Revised Knowledge Pyramid". *Database for Advances in Information Systems*, 48(4), 69-79.
- Jian, Z. (2014). Tracing dairy products with the Internet of Things. Retrieved from ((<https://itu4u.wordpress.com/2014/12/17/tracing-dairy-products-with-theinternet-of-things/>)).
- Jiang, L., & Probst, T. M. (2016). Transformational and passive leadership as cross-level moderators of the relationships between safety knowledge, safety motivation, and safety participation. *Journal of Safety Research*, 57, 27-32.
- Kahlert, M., Constantinides, E., & de Vries, S. (2017). The relevance of technological autonomy in the customer acceptance of IoT services in retail. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the Second International Conference on Internet of things and Cloud Computing, Cambridge, United Kingdom.
- Kale, P., Singh, H., 2007. Building firm capabilities through learning: the role of the alliance learning process in alliance capability and firm-level alliance success. *Strateg. Manag. J.* 28 (10), 981–1000.

- Kamilaris, A. and Pitsillides, A. (2016) Mobile phone computing and the internet of things: A survey. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, 3(6), 885–898.
- Kausar, F., Al Eisa, E., & Bakhsh, I. (2012). Intelligent Home Monitoring Using RSSI in Wireless Sensor Networks. *International Journal of Computer Networks & Communications*, 4(6), 33.
- Kebede, G. (2010). Knowledge management: An information science perspective. *International Journal of Information Management*, 30(5), 416– 424.
- Kezar, A. 2017. “Faculty Voice in Intellectual Property Policies: Collective Action for the Public Good[J].” *New Directions for Higher Education 2017* (177): 93–99. doi:10.1002/he.2017.2017. issue-177.
- Khan, Z., and Vorley, T., 2017; “Big data text analytics: an enabler of knowledge management”. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 21(1), 18-34.
- Kianto, a.; andreeva, t. Knowledge management practices and results in service-oriented versus product-oriented companies. **Knowledge and process management**, v. 21, n. 4, p. 221-230, 2014.
- Kianto, a.; hussinki, h.; vanhala, m. The impact of knowledge management on the market performance of companies. In: **knowledge management in the sharing economy** springer, cham, 2018. P. 189-207.
- Kim, S., Kim, S., 2016. A multi-criteria approach toward discovering killer IoT application in Korea. *Technol. Forecast. Soc. Chang.* 102, 143–155.
- Kim, S., Kim, S., 2016. A multi-criteria approach toward discovering killer IoT application in Korea. *Technol. Forecast. Soc. Chang.* 102, 143–155.
- Kim, T. H., Lee, J. N., Chun, J. U., & Benbasat, I. (2014). Understanding the effect of knowledge management strategies on knowledge management performance: A contingency perspective, *Information and Management*, 51, 398-416.
- Kogut, B., and U. Zander. 1992. Knowledge of the firm, combinative capabilities, and the replication of technology. *Organization Science* 3: 383–397.
- Kogut, B., and U. Zander. 1996. What firms do: Coordination, identity, and learning. *Organization Science* 7: 502–518.
- Kruger, C. J, & Johnson, R. (2010). Information management as an enabler of knowledgemanagement maturity: A South African perspective. *International Journal of Information Management*, 30(1), 57–67.
- Kshetri, N. (2016). The economics of the internet of things in the global south. *Third World Quarterly* (Retrieved from) (<http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/01436597.2016.1191942>).
- Kshetri, N. (2016). The economics of the internet of things in the global south. *Third World Quarterly* (Retrieved from) (<http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/01436597.2016.1191942>).
- Lakhani, K. R., H. Lifshitz-Assaf, and M. L. Tushman. 2013. “Open Innovation and Organizational Boundaries: Task Decomposition, Knowledge Distribution and the Locus of

Innovation.” Handbook of Economic Organization: Integrating Economic and Organization Theory, edited by A. Grandori, 355–382. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.

- Lan, X., & Hao, M. (2015). China and intellectual property rights since joining the WTO. Luolin, W. (ed). *China's WTO Accession Reassessed* (Routledge Studies on the Chinese Economy), 141–167.
- Lan, X., & Hao, M. (2015). China and intellectual property rights since joining the WTO. Luolin, W. (ed). *China's WTO Accession Reassessed* (Routledge Studies on the Chinese Economy), 141–167.
- Lee, I. and Lee, K., 2015, “The Internet of Things (IoT): Applications, investments, and challenges for enterprises”. *Business Horizons*, 58(4), 431-440.
- Lee, I., & Lee, K. (2015). The Internet of Things (IoT): Applications, investments, and challenges for enterprises. *Business Horizons*, 58(4), 431-440.
- Lee, I., 2017, “Big data: Dimensions, evolution, impacts, and challenges”. *Business Horizons*, 60(3), 293-303.
- Levin, S.L. and Schmidt, S. (2014) IPv4 to IPv6: Challenges, solutions, and lessons. *Telecommunications Policy*, 38(11), 1059–1068.
- Li, H., Chai, K. H., & Nebus, J. F. (2013). Balancing codification and personalization for knowledge reuse: A Markov decision process approach. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 17, 755–772.
- Li, S., Da Xu, L., & Zhao, S. (2015). The internet of things: a survey. *Information Systems Frontiers*, 17(2), 243-259.
- Liao, S. H., & Wu, C. C. (2009, March 17). The Relationship among Knowledge Management, Organizational Learning, and Organizational Performance. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 4(4). <https://doi.org/10.5539/ijbm.v4n4p64>
- Lifshitz-Assaf, H. 2015. “From Problem Solvers to Solution Seekers: The Permeation of Knowledge Boundaries at NASA.”
- Lin, C., Wu, J. C. and Yen, D. C., 2012, “Exploring barriers to knowledge flow at different knowledge management maturity stages”. *Information & Management*, 49(1), 10-23.
- Linders, D. 2012. “From e-government to We-government: Defining a Typology for Citizen Coproduction in the Age of Social Media.” *Government Information Quarterly* 29 (4): 446–454.
- Linders, D. 2012. “From e-government to We-government: Defining a Typology for Citizen Coproduction in the Age of Social Media.” *Government Information Quarterly* 29 (4): 446–454.
- Links, C, “The Impact of the IoT Demystified”; <https://www.qorvo.com/resources/d/theimpact-of-the-iot-demystified>; Jul 2017 posted and Jan, 08th, 2019 accessed.
- Links, C, “The Impact of the IoT Demystified”; <https://www.qorvo.com/resources/d/theimpact-of-the-iot-demystified>; Jul, 2017 posted and Jan,

- Liu, W., & Gao, Z. (2014). Study on IOT based architecture of logistics service supply chain. *International Journal of Grid and Distributed Computing*, 7(1), 169-178.
- Liu, X., & Sun, Y. (2011). Information Flow Management of Vendor-Managed Inventory System in Automobile Parts Inbound Logistics Based on Internet of Things. *Journal of Software*, 6(7), 1374-1380.
- Lohr, S. (2015, August 03) The Internet of Things and the Future of Farming. Retrieved from (<http://bits.blogs.nytimes.com/2015/08/03/the-internet-of-thingsand-the-future-of-farming/>).
- Lopez-Nicolas, C., Soto-Acosta, P., 2010. Analyzing ICT adoption and use effects on knowledge creation: an empirical investigation in SMEs. *Int. J. Inf. Manag.* 30 (6), 521–528.
- Louchez, A. & Thomas, V. (2014). E-waste and the Internet of Things. The problem is a part of the solution. Retrieved from (<https://itunews.itu.int/En/4850-Ewaste-and-the-Internet-of-Things.note.aspx>).
- Madakam, S., Ramaswamy, R., & Tripathi, S. (2015). Internet of Things (IoT): A Literature Review. *Journal of Computer and Communications*, 3(05), 164.
- Malhotra, Y., 2015. Knowledge management for e-business performance: advancing information strategy to “internet time”. *Inf. Strateg.* 16 (4), 5–16.
- Mantoro, T., & Ayu, M. A. (2014, April). Securing the authentication and message integrity for Smart Home using smart phone. In *Multimedia Computing and Systems (ICMCS), 2014 International Conference on* (pp. 985-989). IEEE.
- *Manufacturing Science and Engineering*, 136(2), 021008-021008–11.
- McKie, D., & Heath, R.L. (2016). Public relations as a strategic intelligence for the 21st century: Contexts, controversies, and challenges. *Public Relations Review*, 42(2), 298-305.
- Mergel, I. 2015. “Opening Government: Designing Open Innovation Processes to Collaborate with External Problem Solvers.” *Social Science Computer Review* 33 (5): 599–612.
- Merono-Cerdan, A.L., Soto-Acosta, P., López-Nicolás, C., 2007. Analyzing collaborative technologies' effect on performance through intranet use orientations. *J. Enterp. Inf. Manag.* 21 (1), 39–51.
- Mir Fakhredini, S. H., Hataminasab, S. H., Taleiefar, R., & Konjkav Monfared, A. (2010). Knowledge management, knowledge innovation and innovation performance in small and medium organizations. *Journal of Outlook Business Administration*, 9 (2), 103-118. (In Persian).
- Mishra, D., Gunasekaran, A., Childe, S. J., Papadopoulos, T., Dubey, R., & Wamba, S. F. (2016). Vision, applications and future challenges of Internet of Things. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 116(7), 1331-1355.
- Moosavi Jad, S. M., Geravandi, S., Mohammadi, M. J., Alizadeh, R., Sarvarian, M., & et al. (2017). The relationship between the knowledge of leadership and knowledge management practices in the food industry in Kurdistan province, Iran. *Data in Brief*, 15, 155-159.
- N. K. Suryadevara and S. C. Mukhopadhyay, *Smart Homes: Design, Implementation and Issues*, vol. 14. Springer, 2015.

- Näslund, D., & Hulthen, H. (2012). Supply chain management integration: a critical analysis. *Benchmarking: An International Journal*, 19(4/5), 481-501.
- Nauffal, D.I., & Nasser, R.N. (2012). Strategic planning at two levels. *Planning for Higher Education*, 40(4), 32.
- Ng, C. K., C. H. Wu, K. L. Yung, W. H. Ip, T. Cheung. 2018. "A Semantic Similarity Analysis of Internet of Things[J]." *Enterprise Information Systems* 12 (7): 820–855.
- Ng, I., Scharf, K., Pogrebna, G., & Maull, R. (2015). Contextual variety, Internet-of-Things and the choice of tailoring over platform: Mass customisation strategy in supply chain management. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 159(1), 76-87.
- Nicolas, C. L., & Cerdan, A. L. M. (2011). Strategic knowledge management, innovation and performance. *International Journal of Information Management*, 31, 502–509.
- Noe, R.A., Hollenbeck, J.R., Gerhart, B., & Wright, P.M. (2017). *Human resource management: Gaining a competitive advantage*. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill Education.
- Nogueira, r., rosales, f., batalha, m., alcantara, r. Analyzing effects of external integration on innovations outcomes in large and non-large brazilian food companies. **British food journal**, v.116, n.6, p.984-999, 2014.
- Nonaka, I. 1994. A dynamic theory of organizational knowledge creation. *Organization Science* 5: 14–37.
- Nonaka, I., & Takeuchi, H. (2011). The wise leader. *Journal of Harvard Business Review*, 89 (5), 58-67.
- O. F. Beyca, "Sensor-Based Real-Time Process Monitoring for UltraPrecision Manufacturing Processes with Nonlinearity and Nonstationarity," PhD Dissertation, Industrial Engineering and Management, Oklahoma State University, 2013.
- Ouaddah, A., Abou Elkalam, A. and Ait Ouahman, A., 2016, "FairAccess: a new Blockchain-based access control framework for the Internet of Things". *Security and Communication Networks*, 9(18), 5943-5964.
- P. K. Rao, "Sensor-Based Monitoring and Inspection of Surface Morphology in Ultraprecision Manufacturing Processes," PhD Dissertation, Industrial Engineering and Management, Oklahoma State University, 2013.
- P. Rao, S. Bukkapatnam, O. Beyca, Z. J. Kong, and R. Komanduri, "Real-Time Identification of Incipient Surface Morphology Variations in Ultra-Precision Machining Process," *Transactions of the ASME, Journal of Manufacturing Science and Engineering*, vol. 136, p. 021008, 2014.
- Paton, R. A., & Mclaughlin, S. (2008). Services innovation: Knowledge transfer and the supply chain. *Journal of European Management*, 26 (2), 77-83.
- Pentland, B.T. 1995. Grammatical models of organizational processes. *Organization Science* 6: 541–556.
- Perera, C., Member, C. H. L., Jayawardena, S., & Chen, M. (2015). Context-aware Computing in the Internet of Things: A Survey on Internet of Things From Industrial Market Perspective. *IEEE Access*, 2(1ng), 1660-1679.

- Piller, F. T., and J. West. 2014. "Firms, Users, and Innovation: An Interactive Model of Coupled Open Innovation." In *New Frontiers in Open Innovation*, edited by H. Chesbrough, W. Vanhaverbeke, and J. West, 29–49. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Ping, L., Liu, Q., Zhou, Z., & Wang, H. (2011). Agile supply chain management over the internet of things. Paper presented at the Management and Service Science (MASS), 2011 International Conference on 2011 Aug 12.
- Pishdar, M., Ghasemzadeh, F., Antucheviciene, J., & Saparauskas, J., 2018. "Internet of things and its challenges in supply chain management; a rough strength-relation analysis method". *Economics and Management*.
- Porter, M. E., & Heppelmann, J. E. (2015). How smart, connected products are transforming companies. *Harvard Business Review*, 93(10), 96e114.
- Qrunfleh, S., & Tarafdar, M. (2014). Supply chain information systems strategy: Impacts on supply chain performance and firm performance. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 147(1), 340-350.
- RADUAN, C.R., JEGAK, U., HASLINDA, A. AND ALIMIN, I.I. (2009). Management, Strategic Management Theories and the Linkage with Organisational Competitive Advantage from the Resource-Based View. *European Journal of Social Sciences*, 11 (3), 402- 418. [5]
- Ramadan, M., & Borgonovi, E. (2016). Strategic management practices as a key determinant of superior Nongovernmental Organizations Performance. *Problems of Management in the 21st Century*, 11(2), 71-92.
- Rao, P., Bukkapatnam, S., Beyca, O., Kong, Z. and Komanduri, R. (2014) Real-time identification of incipient surface morphology variations in ultraprecision machining process. *Journal of*
- Ready, P. J., Gunasekaran, A., & Spalanzani, A. (2015). Bottom-up approach based on Internet of Things for order fulfillment in a collaborative warehousing environment. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 159(1), 29-40.
- Ready, P. J., Gunasekaran, A., & Spalanzani, A. (2015). Bottom-up approach based on Internet of Things for order fulfillment in a collaborative warehousing environment. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 159(1), 29-40.

• **References**

- Riggins, F. J., and Wamba, S. F., 2015, "Research directions on the adoption, usage, and impact of the internet of things through the use of big data analytics." In *System Sciences (HICSS)*, 2015 48th Hawaii International Conference on (pp. 1531-1540). IEEE.
- Robles, R. J., Kim, T. H., Cook, D., & Das, S. (2010). A review on security in smart home development. *International Journal of Advanced Science and Technology*, 15.
- Rodrigues, s. B., gonzalez duarte, r., de padua carrieri, a. Indigenous or imported knowledge in brazilian management studies: a quest for legitimacy? **Management and organization review**, v. 8, n. 1, p. 211-232, 2012.
- Rothberg, H. N., and Erickson, G. S., 2017, "Big data systems: knowledge transfer or intelligence insights?". *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 21(1), 92-112.

- Saggari, M., O. Sporns, J. Gonzalez-Castillo, P. A. Bandettini, G. Carlsson, G. Glover, A. L. Reiss et al. 2018. "Towards a New Approach to Reveal Dynamical Organization of the Brain Using Topological Data analysis[J]." *Nature Communications* 9 (1): 1399. doi:10.1038/s41467-018-03664-4.
- Salge, T. O., T. Farchi, M. I. Barrett, and S. Dopson. 2013. "When Does Search Openness Really Matter? A Contingency Study of Health-care Innovation Projects." *Journal of Product Innovation Management* 30 (4): 659–676.
- Salter, A., Criscuolo, P., Ter Wal, A.L., 2014. Coping with open innovation. *Calif. Manag. Rev.* 56 (2), 77–94
- Salter, A., P. Criscuolo, and A. L. J. Ter Wal. 2014. "Coping with Open Innovation: Responding to the Challenges of External Engagement in R&D." *California Management Review* 56 (2): 77–94.
- Santoro, G., Ferraris, A., Giacosa, E., Giovando, G., 2016. How SMEs engage in open innovation: a survey. *J. Knowl. Econ.*
- Santoro, G., Vrontis, D., Thrassou, A. and Dezi, L., 2018, "The internet of things: building a knowledge management system for open innovation and knowledge management capacity". *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 136, 347-354.
- Schiefer, M. (2015, May). Smart Home Definition and Security Threats. In *IT Security Incident Management & IT Forensics (IMF)*, 2015 Ninth International Conference on (pp. 114-118). IEEE.
- Schwartz, H. E. (2015). Can Apple's IoT efforts keep up with its Chinese rival, Xiaomi? Retrieved from (<http://dcinno.streetwise.co/2015/05/27/apple-aapl-vs-chinese-xiaomi-for-the-internet-of-things/>).
- Soto-Acosta, P., Cegarra-Navarro, J.G., 2016. New ICTs for knowledge management in organizations. *J. Knowl. Manag.* 20 (3).
- Soto-Acosta, P., Cegarra-Navarro, J.G., 2016. New ICTs for knowledge management in organizations. *J. Knowl. Manag.* 20 (3).
- Soto-Acosta, P., Colomo-Palacios, R., Popa, S., 2014. Web knowledge sharing and its effect on innovation: an empirical investigation in SMEs. *Knowl. Manag. Res. Pract.* 12 (1), 103–113.
- Soto-Acosta, P., Meroño-Cerdan, A.L., 2008. Analyzing e-business value creation from a resource-based perspective. *Int. J. Inf. Manag.* 28 (1), 49–60.
- Souders, Andy (2015). From Desert Storm to the retail store: Five technologies that are closing global supply chain gaps, Retrieved from <http://www.supplychainquarterly.com/topics/technology/20151228-fivetechologies-that-are-closing-global-supply-chain-gaps/> on 20 May 2018.
- Sparkman, t. The factors and conditions for national human resource development in brazil. *European journal of training and development*, v.39 n.8, p.666-680, 2015.
- Sterling, B. (2013). The internet of Brazilian things. Retrieved from (<http://www.wired.com/2013/06/the-internet-of-brazilian-things/>).

- Sumbal, M. S., Tsui, E. and See-to, E. W., 2017, “Interrelationship between big data and knowledge management: an exploratory study in the oil and gas sector”. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 21(1), 180-196.
- Sweet, C. M., and D. S. E. Maggio. 2015. “Do Stronger Intellectual Property Rights Increase innovation? [J].” *World Development* 66: 665–677. doi: 10.1016/j.worlddev.2014.08.025.
- T. Orehovački, A. Al Sokkar, J. Derboven, A, Khan, —Exploring the Hedonic Quality of Slow Technology. In: CHI 2013 workshop on Changing Perspectives of Time in HCI, 2013.
- Taghizadeh, H., Soltani. G., & Mahdiloy, R. (2010). Evaluation of knowledge management in a service organization (case study). *Journal of Beyond Management*, 12 (3), 33-48. (In Persian).
- Tan, Y.S., Ng, Y.T. and Low, J.S.C. (2017) Internet-of-things enabled real-time monitoring of energy efficiency on manufacturing shop floors. *Procedia CIRP*, 61, 376–381.
- Taylor, F.W. 1916. The principles of scientific management. *Bulletin of the Taylor Society*, December.
- Teixeira, A. A. C., and A. S. S. Queirós. 2016. “Economic Growth, Human Capital and Structural Change: A Dynamic Panel Data analysis[J].” *Research Policy* 45 (8): 1636–1648. doi:10.1016/j.respol.2016.04.006.
- Thrassou, A., Vrontis, D., Chebbi, H., Yahiaoui, D., 2012. A preliminary strategic marketing framework for new product development. *J. Trans. Manage.* 17 (1), 21–44 (ISSN: 1547-5778 – Routledge, Taylor and Francis).
- Tian, X., 2017), “Big data and knowledge management: a case of déjà vu or back to the future? *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 21(1), 113-131.
- Tien, J. M. (2015). Internet of connected ServGoods: Considerations, consequences and concerns. *Journal of Systems Science and Systems Engineering*, 24(2), 130e167.
- Tokognon, C.A., Gao, B., Tian, G.Y. and Yan, Y. (2017) Structural health monitoring framework based on internet of things: A survey. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, 4(3), 619–635.
- Torres, A.I.; Ferraz, S.S.; Santos-Rodrigues, H. The impact of knowledge management factors in organizational sustainable competitive advantage. *J. Intellect. Cap.* 2018, 19, 453–472.
- Tsilimigras, M. C. B., and A. A. Fodor. 2016. “Compositional Data Analysis of the Microbiome: Fundamentals, Tools, and challenges[J].” *Annals of Epidemiology* 26 (5): 330–335. doi:10.1016/j.annepidem.2016.03.002.
- Tu, M. (2018). An exploratory study of Internet of Things (IoT) adoption intention in logistics and supply chain management-a mixed research approach. *International Journal of Logistics Management*, the(just accepted), 00-00.
- Tu, M. (2018). An exploratory study of Internet of Things (IoT) adoption intention in logistics and supply chain management-a mixed research approach. *International Journal of Logistics Management*, The(just-accepted), 00-00.

THE IMPACT OF STRATEGIC KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT AND THE INTERNET OF THINGS (IOT) ON THE PERFORMANCE AND INNOVATION OF CHINESE MANUFACTURING FIRMS

- Ur Rehman, M. H., I. Yaqoob, K. Salah, M. Imran, P. P. Jayaraman, C. Perera. 2019. “The Role of Big Data Analytics in Industrial Internet of Things[J]”. *Future Generation Computer Systems* 99: 247–259. doi: 10.1016/j.future.2019.04.020.
- Vanhaverbeke, W., Cloudt, M., 2014. *Theories of the firm and open innovation*. New Frontiers in Open Innovation. Oxford University Press, Oxford
- Vanpoucke, E., Vereecke, A., & Muylle, S. (2017). Leveraging the impact of supply chain integration through information technology. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 37(4), 510-530.
- Venkitachalam, K., & Willmott, H. (2015). Factors shaping organizational dynamics in strategic knowledge management. *Knowledge Management Research & Practice*, 13, 344–359.
- Verdouw, C. N., Wolfert, J., Beulens, A. J. M., & Rialland, A. (2016). Virtualization of food supply chains with the internet of things. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 176, 128-136.
- Verdouw, C. N., Wolfert, J., Beulens, A. J. M., & Rialland, A. (2016). Virtualization of food supply chains with the internet of things. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 176, 128-136.
- Viitala, R. (2004). Towards knowledge leadership. *Journal of Leadership & Organization Development*. 25 (6), 44-528.
- Von Krogh, G., Nonaka, I., & Rechsteiner, L. (2012). Leadership in organizational knowledge creation: A review and framework. *Journal of Management Studies*, 49 (1), 240-277.
- Vrontis, D., Thrassou, A., Santoro, G., Papa, A., 2016. Ambidexterity, external knowledge and performance in knowledge-intensive firms. *J. Technol. Transf.* 1–15.
- Vrontis, D., Thrassou, A., Santoro, G., Papa, A., 2016. Ambidexterity, external knowledge and performance in knowledge-intensive firms. *J. Technol. Transf.* 1–15.
- W. Mohammed, and A. Jalal, —The Influence of Knowledge Management System (KMS) on Enhancing Decision Making Process (DMP)‖, *International Journal of Business and Management*, Vol.6 (8), pp.216-229, 2011.
- Wallace, D. P., Van Fleet, C., & Downs, L. J. (2011). The research core of the knowledge management literature. *International Journal of Information Management*, 31, 14–20.
- Wan, J., Zou, C., Zhou, K., Lu, R. and Li, D., 2014, “IoT sensing framework with inter-cloud computing capability in vehicular networking”. *Electronic Commerce Research*, 14(3), 389-
- Wang, C.H., Chang, C.H., Shen, G.C., 2015. The effect of inbound open innovation on firm performance: evidence from high-tech industry. *Technol. Forecast. Soc. Chang.* 99, 222–230.
- Wang, C.H., Chang, C.H., Shen, G.C., 2015. The effect of inbound open innovation on firm performance: evidence from high-tech industry. *Technol. Forecast. Soc. Chang.* 99, 222–230.
- Wasserman, M., & Mahmoodi, F. (2017). Disruptive technologies: Should you give them the green light? Retrieved from <http://www.supplychainquarterly.com/topics/Technology/20170302-disruptive-technologies-should-you-give-them-the-green-light/> on 20 May 2018.
- Wasserman, M., & Mahmoodi, F. (2017). Disruptive technologies: Should you give them the green light? Retrieved from

<http://www.supplychainquarterly.com/topics/Technology/20170302-disruptive-technologies-should-you-give-them-the-green-light/> on 20 May 2018.

- Weinberger, D., 2012, "Too Big to Know: Rethinking Knowledge Now That the Facts Aren't the Facts, Experts Are Everywhere, & the Smartest Person in the Room Is the Room". New York, NY: Basic Books.
- West, J., A. Salter, W. Vanhaverbeke, and H. Chesbrough. 2014. "Open Innovation: The Next Decade." *Research Policy* 43 (5): 805–811.
- West, J., and M. Bogers. 2014. "Leveraging External Sources of Innovation: A Review of Research on Open Innovation." *Journal of Product Innovation Management* 31 (4): 814–831.
- Whitmore, A., Agarwal, A., & Da Xu, L. (2014). The Internet of Things—A survey of topics and trends. *Information Systems Frontiers*, 17(2), 261-274.
- Whitmore, A., Agarwal, A., and Da Xu, L., 2015, "The Internet of Things - A survey of topics and trends". *Information Systems Frontiers*, 17(2), 261-274.
- Williams, P., & Sullivan, H. (2011). Lessons in leadership for learning and knowledge Management in multi-organizational settings. *The International Journal of Leadership in Public Services*, 7 (1), 6-20.
- World Economic Forum, 2018, "The Internet of Things and connected devices: making the world smarter"; <http://reports.weforum.org/digital-transformation/the-internet-of-things-andconnected-devices-making-the-world-smarter/>; Jan, 08th, 2018 access.
- Xu, L.D., He, W. and Li, S. (2014) Internet of things in industries: A survey. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*, 10(4), 2233–2243
- Yang, J. (2010). The knowledge management strategy and its effect on firm performance: A contingency analysis. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 125(2), 215–223.
- Yu, J., Subramanian, N., Ning, K., & Edwards, D. (2015). Product delivery service provider selection and customer satisfaction in the era of internet of things: A Chinese e-retailers' perspective. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 159(1), 104-116.
- Yu, J., Subramanian, N., Ning, K., & Edwards, D. (2015). Product delivery service provider selection and customer satisfaction in the era of internet of things: A Chinese e-retailers' perspective. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 159(1), 104-116.
- Yu, W. (2015). The effect of IT-enabled supply chain integration on performance. *Production Planning & Control*, 26(12), 945-957.
- Yu, W. (2015). The effect of IT-enabled supply chain integration on performance. *Production Planning & Control*, 26(12), 945-957.
- Yung-Lung, L., Maw-Shin, H., Feng-Jyh, L., Yi-Min, C., & Yi-Hsin, L. (2014). The effects of industry cluster knowledge management on the innovation performance. *Journal of Business Research*, 67, 734-739.
- Z. Kong, A. Oztekin, O. F. Beyca, U. Phatak, S. Bukkapatnam, and R. Komanduri, "Process Performance Prediction for Chemical Mechanical Planarization (CMP) by Integration of Nonlinear Bayesian Analysis and Statistical Modeling," *IEEE Transactions on Semiconductor Manufacturing*, vol. 23, pp. 316-327, 2010.

- Z. Kong, O. Beyca, S. Bukkapatnam, and R. Komanduri, "Nonlinear Sequential Bayesian Analysis-Based Decision Making for End-Point Detection of Chemical Mechanical Planarization (CMP) Processes," *IEEE Transactions on Semiconductor Manufacturing*, vol. 24, pp. 523- 532, 2011.
- Zack, M.H. (2002), "Developing a knowledge strategy", *California Management Review*, Vol. 41 No. 3, pp. 125-223.
- Zahra, S. A., and S. Nambisan. 2011. "Entrepreneurship in Global Innovation Ecosystems." *AMS Review* 1 (1): 4–17.
- Zhang, L. (2015). Effect of knowledge leadership on knowledge sharing in engineering project design teams. *Journal of Project Management*, 46 (5), 111-124.
- Zhao, X., Huo, B., Selen, W., & Yeung, J. H. Y. (2011). The impact of internal integration and relationship commitment on external integration. *Journal of Operations Management*, 29(1), 17-32.
- Zhao, X., Huo, B., Selen, W., & Yeung, J. H. Y. (2011). The impact of internal integration and relationship commitment on external integration. *Journal of Operations Management*, 29(1), 17-32.
- Zhong, Y. (2015). I2oT: Advanced Direction of the Internet of Things. *ZTECOMMUNICATIONS*, 3.
- Zhou, J. (2014). Digital Object Architecture-based Product Quality Safety Information Traceability System in Infant Formula Industry: Architecture, Advantages and Impacts. ITU Event on Combating Counterfeit and Substandard ICT Devices Geneva, Switzerland, 17-18 November 2014. Retrieved from (<http://www.itu.int/en/ITU-T/C-I/Pages/Programme.aspx>).
- Zobel, A.K., 2016. Benefiting from open innovation: a multidimensional model of absorptive capacity. *J. Prod. Innov. Manage.*