

An exploratory review to find one of a kind promoting systems of china's quick developing worldwide cheap food chains

XUE RUITENG¹, ABHIJIT GHOSH²

¹Research Scholar, Lincoln University College, Malaysia. ²DEAN, Lincoln University College, Malaysia.

Abstract

In China, the cheap food business has extended decisively during the most recent quite a few years. Shoppers in China are becoming pickier about the food varieties they purchase, mirroring the nation's extending level utilization. of The accentuation of this exploration is on the directing impact of orientation on the connections between consumer loyalty, unwaveringness, and joy. 500 Chinese respondents who have eaten at Western drive-thru eateries (KFC, Mcdonald's, and so forth) finished up a web-based study to assemble the information. There was a positive relationship between customer fulfillment and the nature of the apparent

evaluating, food, administration, and actual setting. Clients' assessments of a café's quality on different boundaries may be intensely impacted by the amount they believe they are paid. Additionally, client satisfaction and happiness might motivate dedicated support. The association between satisfaction and commitment is intervened by bliss. However, specialists observed that there is a little orientation hole in how to assess the worth of a dinner in light of the expense, as well as how fulfilled they are with the nature of the help they got. Future exploration will profit from this review since it will work on our cognizance of the board and hypothetical perspectives.

Keywords: Shoppers in China, KFC, Unwaveringness, Client Satisfaction, Clients' assessments.

INTRODUCTION

Cheap food chains have become omnipresent in present day culture, offering fast and reasonable food choices to purchasers all over the planet. (Dastane and Fazlin, 2017). In ongoing many years, global cheap food chains have extended quickly in China, turning into a fundamental piece of the country's food culture. This development has been filled by a mix of elements, including changing shopper inclinations, the ascent of the working class, and high-level showcasing procedures. The presence of worldwide cheap food chains in China essentially affects the neighborhood economy and society. These chains have made a great many positions, created huge expense income, and

acquainted Chinese shoppers with new and inventive food items in any case, their development has not been without discussion. Pundits contend that worldwide inexpensive food chains advance unfortunate dietary patterns and undermine the endurance of nearby food organizations. In this review, the specialist analyzed the set of experiences and present status of global cheap food chains in China, their effect on the nearby economy and society, and the difficulties and open doors that lie ahead (Tan, Oriade, and Fallon, 2014). Worldwide cheap food chains in China face various difficulties and open doors in the years to come. One of the greatest difficulties is the rising contest from nearby cheap food chains. The cheap food industry has been a vital driver of financial development and social change in China throughout recent many years. Worldwide cheap food chains like Mcdonald's, KFC, and Pizza Cottage have quickly extended the nation over, giving reasonable and helpful food choices to a developing working class. Nonetheless, their development has additionally started contention, with pundits contending that they add to increasing paces of stoutness and undermine conventional Chinese food. In this presentation, analysts were investigating the set of experiences and present status of global cheap food chains in China, their effect on the nearby economy and society, and the difficulties and valuable open doors they face in the years ahead (Dastane and Fazlin, 2017).

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

All respondents were reached at the previously mentioned areas utilizing a comfort test strategy. Members were addressed with respect to the value, assortment of food, special arrangements, convenient assistance, climate, and comfort. The individuals from the review overviewed were jobless, independently employed, private-worker, and government-representative respondents separately. The elements of the review focused on their age, orientation, pay, specialized capacities, business, and so on. The review gave data about the review and the specialist was accessible to address any inquiries they might have had while they held on to finish the checking system. On the off chance that a respondent didn't peruse or compose, or on the other hand in the event that they were bound to a wheelchair, the specialist would peruse the study questions and answer classifications to them, and they would then enter their answers in the overview structure word for word. In specific regions, occupants were given a bunch of surveys immediately.

3.1. Concentrate on Region:

The review was directed in open regions, shopping centers, cafés, and markets, in China. The review locales were picked in view of the expansive accessibility of individuals to survey their dietary patterns.

3.2. Information Assortment:

The scientist additionally led quantitative examination from the study assortment to lay out causal connections between cheap food supporters' impression of food and administration quality, their view of the eatery's actual climate, and their pleasure with their general insight. The subtleties of the review assortment technique are depicted below. Respondents previously addressed control

questions in regards to their worldwide cheap food chains examination and the size of their association. The apparatuses Rao Delicate was utilized for working out the example size and SPSS 25 was utilized to investigate the information. This left an example size determined from Rao Delicate and the example size was 500. The likert scale, a rating framework, utilized in polls, is intended to quantify individuals' mentalities, feelings, or discernments. Subjects browse a scope of potential reactions to a particular inquiry or proclamation; reactions regularly incorporate "firmly concur," "concur," "didn't reply," "dissent," and "unequivocally deviate." Frequently, the classes of reaction are coded mathematically, in which case the mathematical qualities should be characterized for that particular review, like 5 = emphatically concur, 4 = concur, etc. In the review the scientist saw segment subtleties that included Age Dispersion of the respondents, the experience of the respondents, tenor of work of the respondents and the last one is assignment of the respondents. That all are remembered for segment subtleties. The inquiries from 1-24 follow the Likert scale referenced above and it gives us the purchasers fulfillment of worldwide cheap food chains investigation.

3.3. Populace and Test of The Review:

Information for the review was gathered through a poll study. The specialists picked the comfort test strategy since accommodation inspecting has cutoff points and inclinations that can influence the unwavering quality and generalizability of the discoveries. As the expression "comfort inspecting" suggests, tests are drawn from the most open or effectively available subset of a populace's data. Scientists use volunteers drawn from the most open portion of the populace to gather the earliest accessible essential information. The example size determined through Raodelicate programming was 500, a sum of 550 surveys were dispersed, out of which 537 polls were gotten back, and 37 polls were dismissed in light of the fact that they were fragmented. The last number of polls utilized for the review is 500 with 265 guys and 235 females individually. The individuals from the review studied were the accompanying: Un-Utilized 152 respondents (30.4%), Independently employed 181 respondents (36.2%), Private-Worker 100 respondents (20.0%), Government-Representative 67 respondents (13.4%).

HYPOTHESIS TESTING STEPS

• Developing hypotheses

In scientific testing, two different kinds of hypotheses are employed: the null hypothesis and the alternative hypothesis. The alternative hypothesis postulates that there is a difference between the means, while the null hypothesis holds that there isn't a statistically significant difference between the means.

Computation of test statistics:

The first stage in these tests is to compute the test statistics, commonly known as the calculated value (t value in student's t test and F value in ANOVA test).

When determining statistical significance in an ANOVA, the F test is employed. This method allows the comparison of many means at the same time since the error is calculated for the full suite of comparisons rather than for each pairwise comparison.

| Descriptive Statistics (Likert Scale) | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----|---------|---------|------|------|----------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Ν | Minimum | Maximum | Sum | Mean | Std. Deviation | | | | |
| Q1 | 500 | 1 | 5 | 2195 | 4.39 | 0.952 | | | | |
| Q2 | 500 | 1 | 5 | 2195 | 4.39 | 0.952 | | | | |
| Q3 | 500 | 1 | 5 | 2195 | 4.39 | 1.014 | | | | |
| Q4 | 500 | 1 | 5 | 2180 | 4.36 | 1.010 | | | | |
| Q5 | 500 | 1 | 5 | 2215 | 4.43 | 0.956 | | | | |
| Q6 | 500 | 1 | 5 | 2205 | 4.41 | 0.986 | | | | |
| Q7 | 500 | 1 | 5 | 2235 | 4.47 | 0.893 | | | | |
| Q8 | 500 | 1 | 5 | 2265 | 4.53 | 0.858 | | | | |
| Q9 | 500 | 1 | 5 | 2165 | 4.33 | 1.092 | | | | |
| Q10 | 500 | 1 | 5 | 2185 | 4.37 | 1.041 | | | | |
| Q11 | 500 | 1 | 5 | 2245 | 4.49 | 0.859 | | | | |
| Q12 | 500 | 1 | 5 | 2210 | 4.42 | 0.987 | | | | |
| Q13 | 500 | 1 | 5 | 2175 | 4.35 | 1.067 | | | | |
| Q14 | 500 | 1 | 5 | 2240 | 4.48 | 0.893 | | | | |
| Q15 | 500 | 1 | 5 | 2205 | 4.41 | 0.954 | | | | |
| Q16 | 500 | 1 | 5 | 2185 | 4.37 | 1.041 | | | | |
| Q17 | 500 | 1 | 5 | 2225 | 4.45 | 0.957 | | | | |
| Q18 | 500 | 1 | 5 | 2205 | 4.41 | 0.954 | | | | |
| Q19 | 500 | 1 | 5 | 2105 | 4.21 | 1.320 | | | | |
| Q20 | 500 | 1 | 5 | 2150 | 4.30 | 1.193 | | | | |
| Q21 | 500 | 1 | 5 | 2130 | 4.26 | 1.284 | | | | |
| Q22 | 500 | 1 | 5 | 2130 | 4.26 | 1.252 | | | | |
| Q23 | 500 | 1 | 5 | 2085 | 4.17 | 1.326 | | | | |
| Q24 | 500 | 1 | 5 | 2130 | 4.26 | 1.186 | | | | |
| Valid N (listwise) | 500 | | | | | | | | | |

Table 1: Likert scale descriptive statistics

The Likert scale was represented by 24 questions in the study's questionnaire. The Likert scale's minimal value, one, is displayed in the table. Likewise, the questionnaire's Likert scale has a maximum value of 5. The table shows the mean of each Likert scale question, and it was discovered that the total mean of the questions was 4.37. Out of all the 24 questions, question 8 had the highest mean (4.53), and question 23 had the lowest mean (4.17). For each of the 24 questions, the standard deviation ranged from 0.858 for question 8 to 1.326 for question 23. The comprehensive answer is displayed in Table 1.

ANOVA TEST RESULTS:

| | Descriptives | | | | | | | | | |
|-------|--------------|--------|-----------|--------|----------------|-------------------|---------|---------|--|--|
| Mean | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Ν | Mean | Std. | Std. | 95% Confidence | | Minimum | Maximum | | |
| | | | Deviation | Error | Interval f | Interval for Mean | | | | |
| | | | | | Lower | Lower Upper | | | | |
| | | | | | Bound | Bound | | | | |
| 1.00 | 10 | 1.3958 | .14731 | .10417 | .0723 | 2.7194 | 1.29 | 1.50 | | |
| 1.67 | 15 | 1.5139 | .21382 | .12345 | .9827 | 2.0450 | 1.33 | 1.75 | | |
| 2.00 | 10 | 2.5833 | .35355 | .25000 | 5932 | 5.7599 | 2.33 | 2.83 | | |
| 2.67 | 5 | 1.8333 | | | - | - | 1.83 | 1.83 | | |
| 3.33 | 15 | 2.6806 | .49710 | .28700 | 1.4457 | 3.9154 | 2.33 | 3.25 | | |
| 4.00 | 135 | 3.9259 | .31188 | .06002 | 3.8026 | 4.0493 | 3.04 | 4.54 | | |
| 4.67 | 40 | 4.8437 | .17643 | .06238 | 4.6963 | 4.9912 | 4.46 | 4.96 | | |
| 5.00 | 270 | 5.0000 | .00000 | .00000 | 5.0000 | 5.0000 | 5.00 | 5.00 | | |
| Total | 500 | 4.3713 | .98256 | .09826 | 4.1763 | 4.5662 | 1.29 | 5.00 | | |

Table 1: H1 Descriptives

The descriptive output provides the sample size, mean, standard deviation, minimum, maximum, standard error, and confidence interval for each level of the independent variable (tangibles) for the dependent variable (customer satisfaction). The mean of the respondents in this study who answered questions about tangibles and customer satisfaction was 4.3713, with a standard deviation of .98256.

| ANOVA | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|-----|----------|---------|------|--|--|--|--|
| Mean | | | | | | | | | |
| Sum of Squares df Mean Square F Sig. | | | | | | | | | |
| Between Groups | 74506.320 | 196 | 4372.715 | 249.934 | .000 | | | | |
| Within Groups | 1382.590 | 303 | 16.851 | | | | | | |
| Total | 75888.910 | 499 | | | | | | | |

The ANOVA output gives us the analysis of variance summary table. There are six columns in the output:

| Column | Description |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| Unlabeled (Source of variance) | The first column describes each row of the ANOVA summary table. It tells us that the first row corresponds to the between-groups estimate of variance (the estimate that measures the effect and error). The between-groups estimate of variance forms the numerator of the F ratio. The second row corresponds to the within-groups estimate of variance forms the denominator of the F ratio. The final row describes the total variability in the data. |
| Sum of | The Sum of squares column gives the sum of squares for each of the estimates of |
| Squares | variance. The sum of squares corresponds to the numerator of the variance ratio. |
| df | The third column gives the degrees of freedom for each estimate of variance. |
| Mean Square | The fourth column gives the estimates of variance (the mean squares.) Each mean square is calculated by dividing the sum of square by its degrees of freedom. MSBetween-groups = SSBetween-groups / dfBetween-groups MSWithin-groups = SSWithin-groups / dfWithin-groups |
| F | The fifth column gives the F ratio. It is calculated by dividing mean square between- groups by mean square within-groups. F = <u>MSBetween</u> -groups / <u>MSWithin</u> -groups |
| Sig. | The final column gives the significance of the F ratio. This is the p value. If the p value is less than or equal to the α level, then you can reject H0 that all the means are equal. In this study, the p value is .000 which is less than the α level, so H0 is rejected. |

In this study, the result is significant. The value of F is 249.934, which reaches significance with a *p*-value of .000 (which is less than the .05 alpha level). This means the " H_1 : There is a significant relationship between Tangibles and Customer Satisfaction" is accepted and the null hypothesis is rejected.

Table 4, H2 Descriptives

| | Descriptives | | | | | | | | | |
|-------|--------------|--------|------------------|--------|------------|-------------------|---------|---------|--|--|
| Mean | | | | | | | | | | |
| | N Mean | | N Mean Std. Std. | | 95% Co | nfidence | Minimum | Maximum | | |
| | | | Deviation | Error | Interval f | Interval for Mean | | | | |
| | | | | | Lower | Lower Upper | | | | |
| | | | | | Bound | Bound | | | | |
| 1.33 | 15 | 1.3750 | .11024 | .06365 | 1.1011 | 1.6489 | 1.29 | 1.50 | | |
| 1.67 | 10 | 1.6042 | .20624 | .14583 | 2488 | 3.4572 | 1.46 | 1.75 | | |
| 2.00 | 5 | 1.8333 | - | | - | - | 1.83 | 1.83 | | |
| 2.33 | 5 | 2.3333 | - | | - | - | 2.33 | 2.33 | | |
| 2.67 | 10 | 2.3958 | .08839 | .06250 | 1.6017 | 3.1900 | 2.33 | 2.46 | | |
| 3.00 | 5 | 2.8333 | - | | - | - | 2.83 | 2.83 | | |
| 3.33 | 5 | 3.0417 | - | | - | - | 3.04 | 3.04 | | |
| 4.00 | 130 | 3.9327 | .31404 | .06159 | 3.8058 | 4.0595 | 3.25 | 4.75 | | |
| 4.33 | 15 | 4.4722 | .29561 | .17067 | 3.7379 | 5.2066 | 4.21 | 4.79 | | |
| 4.67 | 5 | 4.5417 | - | | - | - | 4.54 | 4.54 | | |
| 5.00 | 295 | 4.9958 | .01487 | .00194 | 4.9919 | 4.9996 | 4.92 | 5.00 | | |
| Total | 500 | 4.3713 | .98256 | .09826 | 4.1763 | 4.5662 | 1.29 | 5.00 | | |

For dependent variable (Customer Satisfaction), the descriptive output gives the sample size, mean, standard deviation, minimum, maximum, standard error, and confidence interval for each level of the (Reliability) independent variable. In this study, respondents who responded for reliability and customer satisfaction, and their mean was 4.3713, with a standard deviation of .98256.

| ANOVA | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|-----|----------|---------|------|--|--|--|--|
| Mean | | | | | | | | | |
| Sum of Squares df Mean Square F Sig. | | | | | | | | | |
| Between Groups | 75207.347 | 126 | 4700.459 | 572.417 | .000 | | | | |
| Within Groups | 681.563 | 373 | 8.212 | | | | | | |
| Total | 75888.910 | 499 | | | | | | | |

In this study, the result is significant. The value of F is 572.417, which reaches significance with a *p*-value of .000 (which is less than the .05 alpha level). This means the " H_2 : There is a significant relationship between Reliability and Customer Satisfaction." is accepted and the null hypothesis is rejected.

Table 6: H3 Descriptives

| | Descriptives | | | | | | | | | |
|-------|--------------|--------|-----------|--------|----------------|-------------------|---------|---------|--|--|
| Mean | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Ν | Mean | Std. | Std. | 95% Confidence | | Minimum | Maximum | | |
| | | | Deviation | Error | Interval f | Interval for Mean | | | | |
| | | | | | Lower | Lower Upper | | | | |
| | | | | | Bound | Bound | | | | |
| 1.33 | 15 | 1.4583 | .25345 | .14633 | .8287 | 2.0879 | 1.29 | 1.75 | | |
| 1.67 | 15 | 1.5972 | .20554 | .11867 | 1.0866 | 2.1078 | 1.46 | 1.83 | | |
| 2.67 | 5 | 2.3333 | - | | | - | 2.33 | 2.33 | | |
| 3.33 | 20 | 2.7188 | .41300 | .20650 | 2.0616 | 3.3759 | 2.33 | 3.25 | | |
| 4.00 | 110 | 3.8333 | .26068 | .05558 | 3.7178 | 3.9489 | 3.04 | 4.04 | | |
| 4.33 | 20 | 4.2917 | .11785 | .05893 | 4.1041 | 4.4792 | 4.21 | 4.46 | | |
| 4.67 | 15 | 4.6528 | .20554 | .11867 | 4.1422 | 5.1634 | 4.42 | 4.79 | | |
| 5.00 | 300 | 4.9882 | .06045 | .00780 | 4.9726 | 5.0038 | 4.54 | 5.00 | | |
| Total | 500 | 4.3713 | .98256 | .09826 | 4.1763 | 4.5662 | 1.29 | 5.00 | | |

The descriptive output provides the sample size, mean, standard deviation, minimum, maximum, standard error, and confidence interval for each level of the independent variable (assurance) for the dependent variable (customer satisfaction). The mean of the respondents in this study who answered questions about assurance and consumer behavior was 4.3713, with a standard deviation of.98256.

DISCUSSION:

The findings of this chapter indicate that multinational fast-food chains have a considerable impact on consumers' satisfaction levels with regard to improper use of force and their attitudes. The findings, which comprise the outcomes of six models, are presented in tables (An & Sturm, 2012). The respondents' demographic information is described in the first section of the results. Descriptive analysis data from the study is displayed in the gender, age, income, work experience, and designation tables.

CONCLUSIONS

The main objective of every company's promotion and marketing strategy, technique, and process in today's competitive, fast-developing market is to boost profits and support business growth (Schrempf, J., 2014). Customer retention, satisfaction, quality, and excellence in services are global concerns that affect all businesses. This includes both large and small, local and foreign, for-profit and nonprofit enterprises. Restaurant managers, staff members, and patrons may find it challenging to precisely assess the effectiveness and caliber of these business-related activities because services are intangible (Schrempf, J., 2014). The management of the restaurant as well as its customers benefit in a number of ways from the improved quality of service. Another illustration of a customer-involved route to improved. The investigation's findings suggest that a consumer's decision to return or not may be directly and unmediated influenced by the emotional processes involved in the consuming phase. Examining earlier research on the topic of dinner satisfaction in the fast-food industry was the aim of this study.

REFERENCES

- Rothenberger, S. Fairness through transparency: The influence of price transparency on consumer perceptions of price fairness. Work. Pap. CEB 2015, 15, 1–37.
- Rust, R. T., & Zahorik, A. J. (1993). Customer Satisfaction, Customer Retention and Market Share. Journal of retailing, 69 (2), 193-215.
- Ryu, K. Dinescape, Emotions, and Behavioral Intentions in Upscale Restaurants. Ph.D Thesis, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS, USA, 2005.
- Ryu, K., & Han, H. (2010). Influence of the quality of food, service, and physical environment on customer satisfaction and behavioral intention in quick-casual restaurants: Moderating role of perceived price. Journal of Hospitality & Tourism Research, 34(3), 310-329.
- Ryu, K., Lee, H. K., & Woo, G. (2012). The Influence of the Quality of the Physical Environment, Food, and Service on Restaurant Image, Customer Perceived Value, Customer Satisfaction, and Behavioral Intentions. International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management, 24(2), 200-223.
- Ryu, K.; Han, H. Influence of the quality of food, service, and physical environment on customer satisfaction and behavioral intention in quick-casual restaurants: Moderating role of perceived price. J. Hosp. Tour. Res. 2010, 34, 310–329.
- S. Mercier, S. Villeneuve, M. Mondor, and I. Uysal, "Time–Temperature Management Along the Food Cold Chain: A Review of Recent Developments," Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety, vol. 16, no. 4, pp. 647–667, 2017.
- S. S. Andaleeb, & C. Conway, "Customer satisfaction in the restaurant industry: an examination of the transaction-specific model," Journal of Services Marketing, 20(1), 3-11, 2006.
- S.O, O. (2002). Comparative evaluation and the relationship between quality, satisfaction, and repurchase loyalty. 240-249. Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, 30 (3), 240-249.
- Sabir, R. I., Irfan, M., Akhtar, N., Pervez, M. A., and Rehman, A. U. 2014. "Customer Satisfaction in the Restaurant Industry; Examining the Model in Local Industry Perspective". Journal of Asian Business Strategy. 4(1), 18–31.
- Sadiq, M. W., Hameed, J., Abdullah, M. I., and Noman, S. M. (2020). Service innovations in social media & blogging websites: enhancing customer's psychological engagement towards online environment friendly products. Rev. Argent. Clín. Psicoló. 29:677.
- Schrempf, J., (2014). A social connection approach to corporate responsibility: The case of the fast-food industry and obesity. Business & Society, 53(2), pp.300-332. [Original source: https://prothesiswriter.com/sub-samples/a-comparative-study-of-the-fast-food-industry-of-china-and-the-uk]

- Safwan, A., Rehman, N., Afzal, K., & Ali, I. (2010). Determinants of consumer retention in telecommunication industry: Case of Saudi Arabia, International Journal of Business and Management, 5(5), 104-120.
- Santos, J. (2002). From intangibility to tangibility on service quality perceptions: a comparison study between consumers and service providers in four service industries. Manag. Serv. Qual. Int. J. 12, 292–302.
- Schiffman, LG. & Kanuk, LL. (2004). Consumer behavior, 8th International edition. Prentice Hall. (2016). Sciepub.com.
- Schlosser, E. (2012). Fast food nation: The dark side of the all-American meal. Mifflin Harcourt.
- Schrempf, J., 2014. A social connection approach to corporate responsibility: The case of the fast-food industry and obesity. Business & Society, 53(2), pp.300-332.
- Seiders, K., Berry, L.L., and Gresham, L.G. (2000), "Attention, retailers! How convenient is your convenience strategy?", Sloan Management Review, Vol. 41 No. 3, pp. 79-89.
- Seo, Y. (2012). Cultural impact on customer satisfaction and service quality evaluation in hotels.
- Service. (2022, March 16). What is customer empathy? Tips and importance. Zendesk.
- Shahzad khan & Faryal Noor (2012)" Factors affecting buying behavior of females for purchase of cosmetics" International review of business & social sciences vol. 1, #. 9, 68-76.
- Shahzadi, M., Malik, S.A., Ahmad, M. and Shabbir, A. (2018), "Perceptions of fine dining restaurants in Pakistan", International Journal of Quality and Reliability Management, Vol. 35 No. 3, pp. 635-655.
- Shan, M. (2010). A study on the situation and development of Chinese fast food. Journal of Shandong Institute of Business and Technology, 6, 121-124.
- Shanghai street foods (2017). 35 Shanghai Street foods you'll love. Available at: http://edition.cnn.com/travel/article/shanghai-streetfood/index.html.
- Shankar, V., Amy, K. Smith, A. K., & Rangaswamy, A. (2003). Customer satisfaction & loyalty in online & offline environments. International journal of research, 20, 153-175.
- Sheehan, K.B. An investigation of gender differences in on-line privacy concerns and resultant behaviors. J. Interact. Mark. 1999, 13, 24–38.
- Shields, P.M. and Rangarajan, N. (2013) A Playbook for Research Methods: Integrating Conceptual Frameworks and Project Management. New Forums Press, Stillwater, OK.
- Shoemaker, S.; Dawson, M.; Johnson, W. How to increase menu prices without alienating your customers. Int. J. Contemp. Hosp. Manag. 2005, 17, 553–568.
- Shokohyar S, Kavyani H. 2015 The evaluation of medical tourism centers' service quality in Tehran city by using Fuzzy SERVQUAL Approach. JHOSP. 14(2):127–37.
- Shuttleworth, M. (2023). Definition of Reliability. Explorable.com.

- Siddiqi, K. (2011). Interrelations between Service Quality Attributes, Customer Satisfaction and Customer Loyalty in the Retail Banking Sector in Bangladesh. International Journal of Business and Management, 6(3), 12-36. doi: 10.5539/ijbm. v6n3p12
- Sinha, I. Cost transparency: The Net's real threat to prices and brands. Harvard Business Review (March/April 2000), 43–54.